

Borger ISD

District Improvement Plan

2007-08

**Our students will become educated, successful, and responsible citizens
of our society.**

DISTRICT: Borger Independent School District

SUPERINTENDENT: Clifton L. Stephens

ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT FOR CURRICULUM AND PERSONNEL: Linda Rotramel

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF SPECIAL PROGRAMS AND ELEMENTARY INSTRUCTION: Bobbie Sue Nichols

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF NON-INSTRUCTIONAL AND PK-16 SERVICES
Bob Callaghan

DIRECTOR OF SPECIAL EDUCATION: Patti Brown

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Gary Schneck, President

Elaine Feese, Vice-President

Johnny Rusten, Secretary

Charlotte Williams

David Brandon

Robert Bradley

Todd Harris

Borger ISD Belief Statements

- 1 We believe that education is both a right and a privilege and that the schools of Borger, Texas should create a climate of mutual cooperation and respect among school personnel, students, parents, and the community.
- 2 We believe that in a dynamic and changing world, the curriculum must be designed to provide wholesome learning experiences which will allow each student to use the basic tools and skills of learning, to explore and to know his individual interests and aptitudes, to make wise choices of vocation and to continue his quest for knowledge.
- 3 We believe that the public school in cooperation with parents and the community has the responsibility to reflect our democratic society, to give guidance and to provide opportunities for all students to develop good character and responsible citizenship.
- 4 We believe that the school should provide an environment conducive to the development of each student so that he may foster understanding and wisdom, good work and study habits, desirable attitudes toward self and others, maturity and self-confidence, self-direction, self-determination, and the ability to solve problems creatively and critically.
- 5 We believe that education is both a right and a privilege and that the schools of Borger, Texas should create a climate of mutual cooperation and respect among school personnel, students, parents, and the community.
- 6 We believe that in a dynamic and changing world, the curriculum must be designed to provide wholesome learning experiences which will allow each student to use the basic tools and skills of learning, to explore and to know his individual interests and aptitudes, to make wise choices of vocation and to continue his quest for knowledge.
- 7 We believe that the public school in cooperation with parents and the community has the responsibility to reflect our democratic society, to give guidance and to provide opportunities for all students to develop good character and responsible citizenship.
- 8 We believe that the school should provide an environment conducive to the development of each student so that he may foster understanding and wisdom, good work and study habits, desirable attitudes toward self and others, maturity and self-confidence, self-direction, self-determination, and the ability to solve problems creatively and critically.
- 9 We believe that education is both a right and a privilege and that the schools of Borger, Texas should create a climate of mutual cooperation and respect among school personnel, students, parents, and the community.
- 10 We believe that in a dynamic and changing world, the curriculum must be designed to provide wholesome learning experiences which will allow each student to use the basic tools and skills of learning, to explore and to know his individual interests and aptitudes, to make wise choices of vocation and to continue his quest for knowledge.
- 11 We believe that the public school in cooperation with parents and the community has the responsibility to reflect our democratic society, to give guidance and to provide opportunities for all students to develop good character and responsible citizenship.
- 12 We believe that the school should provide an environment conducive to the development of each student so that he may foster understanding and wisdom, good work and study habits, desirable attitudes toward self and others, maturity and self-confidence, self-direction, self-determination, and the ability to solve problems creatively and critically.

Goal 1: BISD student performance will demonstrate gains as necessary to attain or maintain Recognized or Exemplary status as measured by scores on TAKS, ACT, and other state and national tests, while performance gaps between minority and non-minority students will narrow.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics	3) Performance - Science	4) Performance - Social Studies
State Objectives			
3) Dropout Prevention			
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	3) Highly Qualified Staff		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	5) Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task	
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
1) Use Resources to Help Meet Standards	2) Ensure Planning is Incorporated	3) Use Effective Methods	5) Highly Qualified Teachers
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	6) Parental Involvement	7) Student Transition to Elementary Programs	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Indicator: Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8)

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
African American	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Hispanic	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
White	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Special Ed.	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
LEP	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	

Indicator: Completion: Graduated

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	98 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.4 %	2008	
African American	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	93 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 94.4 %	2008	
Hispanic	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
White	96 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 96.8 %	2008	

Strategies

Goal 1 - Strategy 1 Improve drop-out rate and completion rate			
<p><i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i></p>			
<p>Leader(s): Principals BMS/BHS</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: January 2008 May 2008</p>	<p>Brief Description: Borger ISD shall lower the drop-out rate and increase the graduation rate with the final goal of having all BISS students graduating with his/her own age group.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Completion rates will reach 100% on the PEIMS Data Review report.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: Volunteer Support Title Teachers Staff Parent Support District Staff District Coordinator Campus Admin. Staff</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 0.25 Fully Comp. Ed Funded Cost: \$15,000.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00 \$0.00</p>

Goal 1 - Strategy 1		Improve drop-out rate and completion rate												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Identify students at-risk of dropping out so that services can be started as early as possible	Bobbie Sue Nichols	X		X		X		X				X		Identify students who are at risk and begin services within 3 weeks of identification.

Goal 1 - Strategy 2		Maintain Promotion Strategies	
<p><i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i></p>			
<p>Leader(s): Principals</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: May 30, 2008</p>	<p>Brief Description: Borger ISD will improve promotion percentages.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Improve promotions to 91% district wide.</p>	
<p>Resources Required:</p> <p>Teachers</p> <p>Outside Consultant</p> <p>District Staff</p> <p>District Admin. Staff</p>	<p>FTE's Required:</p> <p>Number of FTE's: None</p> <p>Partially Title Funded</p> <p>Cost: \$10,000.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds:</p> <p>General Budget</p> <p>Title Budget</p>	<p>Amount</p> <p>\$5,000.00</p> <p>\$5,000.00</p> <hr/> <p>\$10,000.00</p>

Goal 1 - Strategy 2		Maintain Promotion Strategies												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Implement higher order thinking skills by using teaching strategies from the 5E model.	Linda Rotramel					X						X		91% of all BISD students will successfully move to the next grade level.

Goal 1 - Strategy 3 Attain Recognized status			
<i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Clifton L. Stephens	Brief Description: Borger ISD will become a recognized district with at least one campus reaching Exemplary status as defined by the Texas Education Agency.	Evaluation Benchmark: BISD will increase the number of students reaching commended levels on TAKS by 10%.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: Feb., 2008 May, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: None	Title Budget	\$7,000.00
Staff	Fully Title Funded		<hr/>
Computers	Cost: \$7,000.00		\$7,000.00
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 1 - Strategy 3 Attain Recognized status														
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Teachers will use the Inova program information to identify the students whose projected score could be in the commended range with a slight increase.	Principal							X		X	X			10% more of our students will score in the commended range on the TAKS test.

Goal 1 - Strategy 4 Attain Recognized status			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Clifton L. Stephens	Brief Description: Administer benchmark tests at least 2 times before TAKS test is given.	Evaluation Benchmark: Chart benchmark scores to ascertain weak areas and to identify areas to reteach.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: October, 2007 January, 2008 March, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: 1.00	District Budget	\$5,500.00
Supplies	None		\$5,500.00
District Staff	Cost: \$26,000.00		
District Admin. Staff			
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 1 - Strategy 4 Attain Recognized status														
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Administer benchmark tests based on C-Scope instructional focus documents.	Principals			X			X		X					Increase in benchmark scores from one to the next.

Goal 1 - Strategy 5 Increase Average Scale Scores			
<i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Clifton L. Stephens	Brief Description: Use Inova individual student profiles to identify strike zone objectives in order to increase student's understanding of the content area.	Evaluation Benchmark: TAKS scale scores will increase by 10% for the 07-08 school year.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: February, 2008 April, 2008 May, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: 15.00	Title Budget	\$7,000.00
Supplies	Partially Title Funded		\$7,000.00
District Admin. Staff	Cost: \$30,000.00		
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 1 - Strategy 5															Increase Average Scale Scores														
Timeline																													
Activity		Person Responsible		A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation													
				u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u														
				g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l														
Develop extended day activities for students based on the strategies outlined by Inova.		Principals				X			X				X			Students will attain at least one year of value in 1 school year.													

Goal 1 - Strategy 6 Increase % taking AP exams			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Counselors	Brief Description: BISD will maximize the number of students taking AP exams, number of tests taken and the number of exams scored 3 or higher.	Evaluation Benchmark: Increase number of students scoring 3 or higher on AP exams by 5%.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: May, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: None	None	\$0.00
Campus Admin. Staff	None Cost: None		\$0.00

Goal 1 - Strategy 6															Increase % taking AP exams	
Timeline																
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation		
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u			
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l			
Encourage students to enroll in AP courses and to take the exam.	Counselors										X			At least 90% of students taking AP exams will score a 3 or higher.		

Goal 1 - Strategy 7 Increase College Readiness			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Bob Callaghan Leader Progress Report Dates: May 2008	Brief Description: The percent of students who meet or exceed the college readiness standard in the areas of ELA and math on the TAKS test will increase annually.	Evaluation Benchmark: Students meeting college readiness standards will increase by 10% annually.	
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
None	Number of FTE's: None	None	\$0.00
	None		\$0.00
	Cost: None		

Goal 1 - Strategy 7		Increase College Readiness													
Timeline															
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation	
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u		
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l		
Students will be encouraged to graduate on the Recommended program or higher.	Counselors	X									X			August, 2007 May, 2008	

Goal 2: Provide a safe environment in district facilities and at district related events.

Correlates with:

State Objectives	
8) School Environment	
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators	
4) Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments	
Effective School Correlates	
1) Safe and Orderly Environment	4) Clear and Focused Mission
Title I - Schoolwide Programs	
1) Needs Assessment	6) Parental Involvement

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Indicator: Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8)

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
African American	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Hispanic	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
White	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Special Ed.	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
LEP	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	

Indicator: Completion: Graduated

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	98 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.4 %	2008	
African American	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	93 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 94.4 %	2008	
Hispanic	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
White	96 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 96.8 %	2008	

Strategies

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 Emergency Operation Plans			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Principals	Brief Description: Emergency Operation Plans will be readily available on each campus.	Evaluation Benchmark: 100% of our teachers will have their emergency "GO" packs available for any emergency.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: August, 2007 May, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Transportation Dept.	Number of FTE's: 1.00	None	\$0.00
Teachers	None		\$0.00
Supplies	Cost: \$1,500.00		
Staff			
District Admin. Staff			
Community Leader			
Central Office			
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 Emergency Operation Plans														
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Implement an emergency evacuation in conjunction with the city emergency operations in response to a mock disaster.	Principals			X										100% of staff will follow Emergency Operation plan for his/her campus.

Goal 2 - Strategy 2		Safety audits	
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Brad Carpenter	Brief Description: Three district staff members will audit each campus for safety compliance.	Evaluation Benchmark: 100% of campuses will pass the safety audit.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: December, 2007			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Custodial/Maint. Dept.	Number of FTE's: 0.50	None	\$0.00
Campus Admin. Staff	None		\$0.00
	Cost: \$2,000.00		

Goal 2 - Strategy 2		Safety audits												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
3 staff members make up the Safety Audit Team. This team will use a template to evaluate each campus for safety compliance.	Brad Carpenter					X								100% compliance

Goal 3: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed and retained.

Correlates with:

State Objectives			
1) Partnering Parents with Educators	6) School Personnel	8) School Environment	10) Technology
Effective School Correlates			
1) Safe and Orderly Environment	4) Clear and Focused Mission		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
1) Use Resources to Help Meet Standards	8) Coordinate and Integrate Services and Programs		
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
4) Professional Development	8) Include Teachers in Decisions		

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Indicator: Completion: Graduated

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	98 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.4 %	2008
African American	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	93 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 94.4 %	2008
Hispanic	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008
White	96 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 96.8 %	2008

Strategies

Goal 3 - Strategy 1				HQ staff will be recruited and retained			
<p><i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i></p>							
Leader(s):		Brief Description:		Evaluation Benchmark:			
Asst. Supt. for Personnel		The district will strive to enhance the quality of its professional and non-professional workforce.		100% of all professional and non-professional staff will meet the Highly Qualified status as appropriate for his/her job assignment.			
Leader Progress Report Dates:							
Oct.15, 2007							
November 13, 2007							
December 15, 2007							
May 24, 2008							
Resources Required:		FTE's Required:		Source of Funds:		Amount	
Teachers		Number of FTE's: 15.00		Title Budget		\$30,000.00	
Parent Support		Fully Title Funded					
District Staff		Cost: \$30,000.00				\$30,000.00	
District Admin. Staff							
Central Office							
Campus Admin. Staff							

Goal 3 - Strategy 1															HQ staff will be recruited and retained														
Timeline																													
Activity		Person Responsible		A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation													
				u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u														
				g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l														
Signing bonuses will be given to HQ staff hired in high need areas such as Foreign Language, Science, Math, and Elementary Bilingual.		Asst. Supt. for Personnel			X		X	X		X		X	X			100% of HQ teachers will stay in Borger ISD for at least 2 years.													

Goal 3 - Strategy 2 Increase Budget Emphasis on Instruction			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Faye Hooper	Brief Description: Demonstrate the effective and efficient use of taxpayers dollars and increase monies spent on the teaching and learning process.	Evaluation Benchmark: Spend at least 67% of budget on instructional initiatives.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: October, 2007 April, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: None	None	\$0.00
District Admin. Staff	None		
Central Office	Cost: None		\$0.00
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 3 - Strategy 2		Increase Budget Emphasis on Instruction													
Timeline															
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation	
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u		
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l		
Increase money spent on the teaching and learning process.	Faye Hooper			X							X			Reach 67% of budget on instructional issues.	

Goal 4: Parents will make Borger ISD the district of choice for their children.

Correlates with:

State Objectives			
5) Prepare Students	7) Student Performance		
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
3) Highly Qualified Staff	5) All Students will Graduate from High School		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	4) Clear and Focused Mission	7) Home-School Relations
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
2) Ensure Planning is Incorporated	3) Use Effective Methods	5) Highly Qualified Teachers	
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
5) Professional Staff	7) Student Transition to Elementary Programs		

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Strategies

Goal 4 - Strategy 1				Improve public confidence			
<p><i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i></p>							
Leader(s):		Brief Description:		Evaluation Benchmark:			
Supt., Asst. Supt., Principals		Reduce the number of out of district transfers.		Out of district transfers will be reduced by 20%			
Leader Progress Report Dates:							
Aug., 2007							
Sept., 2007							
Oct., 2007							
Nov., 2007							
Dec., 2007							
Jan., 2008							
Feb., 2008							
March, 2008							
April, 2008							
May, 2008							
June, 2007							
July 2007							
Resources Required:		FTE's Required:		Source of Funds:		Amount	
Campus Admin. Staff		Number of FTE's: None		Title Budget		\$2,500.00	
District Admin. Staff		Partially Title Funded					
		Cost: \$2,500.00					

Goal 4 - Strategy 1 Improve public confidence														
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Provide ongoing staff development with follow-up monitoring and support to ensure that all teachers are highly qualified	Linda Rotramel	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Professional development records from Region 16 and other sources.

Goal 4 - Strategy 2 Encourage paraprofessionals to become teachers			
<i>There are no Indicators/Objectives that support this Strategy</i>			
Leader(s): Linda Rotramel	Brief Description: Provide release time to enable paraprofessionals to seek certification.	Evaluation Benchmark: 1- 2 paraprofessionals enrolled in college coursework that will result in teacher certification.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: August, 2006 January , 2007			
Resources Required: None	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: None	Amount \$0.00 <hr/> \$0.00

Goal 4 - Strategy 2		Encourage paraprofessionals to become teachers												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Provide information to paraprofessionals about the Aide Exemption program. This program allows teacher's aides to receive a tuition exemption after working for 1 school year as an aide.	Linda Rotramel	X				X					X			2-3 teacher's aides will take advantage of the Aide Exemption program.

Goal 4 - Strategy 3 Tuition waiver for paraprofessionals			
<i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Linda Rotramel	Brief Description: Inform paraprofessionals about the college tuition waiver program for employees who have worked for a full school year.	Evaluation Benchmark: Provide information to 100% of paraprofessionals working toward a college degree.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: April, 2007 May, 2007			
Resources Required: Staff	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: None	Amount \$0.00 <hr/> \$0.00

Goal 4 - Strategy 3		Tuition waiver for paraprofessionals												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Provide up to date and appropriate forms to district staff to apply for the tuition waiver.	Linda Rotramel									X	X			District staff will take advantage of tuition waiver opportunity.

Goal 4 - Strategy 4 Reduce Out of District Transfers			
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Clifton L. Stephens	Brief Description: BISD will decrease the number of out of district transfers.	Evaluation Benchmark: Create confidence in such a way that students will continue school career in BISD.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: August, 2007 January, 2008 May, 2008			
Resources Required: None	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: None	Amount \$0.00 <hr/> \$0.00

Goal 4 - Strategy 4		Reduce Out of District Transfers												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Provide a survey to ascertain areas of improvement.	District Administrators						X							Compile survey results and implement plan to address weaknesses.

Goal 5: Borger ISD will create and maintain a strong, positive district culture making Borger ISD a school district of choice for educational professionals.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics	3) Performance - Science	4) Performance - Social Studies
State Objectives			
1) Partnering Parents with Educators	8) School Environment		
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
2) LEP will become Proficient in English	3) Highly Qualified Staff		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	5) Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task	7) Home-School Relations
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
2) Ensure Planning is Incorporated	4) Support Regular Education Program	6) Opportunities for Professional Development	8) Coordinate and Integrate Services and Programs
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
2) Student Opportunities	5) Professional Staff	7) Student Transition to Elementary Programs	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Indicator: Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8)

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
African American	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Hispanic	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
White	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
Special Ed.	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	
LEP	0 %	2007	≤ 0 %	2012-13	≤ 0 %	2008	

Indicator: Completion: Graduated

Grade: All		Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
Group	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	
All Students	98 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.4 %	2008	
African American	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
Economically Disadvantaged	93 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 94.4 %	2008	
Hispanic	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008	
White	96 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 96.8 %	2008	

Strategies

Goal 5 - Strategy 1		Promote positive culture	
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Asst. Supt. and Principals	Brief Description: Borger ISD will create and maintain a strong, positive district culture making our district a district of choice for educational professionals.	Evaluation Benchmark: Increase the number of applicants to the district by 15% over the previous year.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: December, 2007 May, 2008			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Transportation Dept.	Number of FTE's: None	District Budget	\$5,000.00
District Admin. Staff	Not Specified		\$5,000.00
Computers	Cost: \$5,000.00		
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 5 - Strategy 1		Promote positive culture												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	y	u	l
Technology Application teachers will accommodate students in lab or classroom situations to teach computer skills to students throughout the school year.	Principals					X					X			Students will be proficient with keyboarding skills and in using technology to complete tasks.
Recruit highly qualified applicants at job fairs and local universities.	Linda Rotramel								X	X	X	X	X	Fill all open positions with highly qualified staff. Fill high need positions to 100%.

Goal 5 - Strategy 2 Provide professional development			
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Barbie Schroeder	Brief Description: Professional staff on all campuses will participate in training in using software such as Blackboard that will provide opportunities to complete workshops online.	Evaluation Benchmark: 90% of all professional staff will participate in Blackboard 101 training through Region 16.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: November, 2006 January, 2007 May, 2007			
<i>NEW INITIATIVE</i>			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: None	District Budget	\$1,000.00
Staff	None		
District Staff	Cost: None		\$1,000.00
District Admin. Staff			
Contract Service			
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 5 - Strategy 2 Provide professional development														
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Successfully provide the acquisition of technology skills through district developed on-line courses.	Jimmy Ashford				X		X				X			Professional staff will be able to complete workshop options offered via Blackboard or similar software.

Goal 5 - Strategy 3 Provide Trainer of Trainers			
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Barbie Schroeder	Brief Description: Technology staff will attend TOT for Blackboard. This will allow Borger ISD the opportunity to offer our own professional development online.	Evaluation Benchmark: Provide professional development courses via Blackboard this school year so that 90% of all staff are proficient in basic technology skills.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: September, 2007 January, 2008 May, 2008			
<i>NEW INITIATIVE</i>			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: 2.00	Technology Budget	\$80,000.00
Staff	None	Title Budget	\$5,000.00
District Staff	Cost: \$80,000.00		<hr/> \$85,000.00
District Admin. Staff			
Contract Service			
Central Office			

Goal 5 - Strategy 3		Provide Trainer of Trainers												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Develop and provide professional development online for staff and/or students this school year.	Dewey De Loe						X					X		Teachers or students will be able to take advantage of a district developed online course.

Goal 6: Facility assessments will be completed and plans will be made to repair, renovate or replace existing structures in a timely manner thus enabling the district to provide safe, clean, modern and well-equipped facilities for all children..

Correlates with:

State Objectives			
5) Prepare Students	8) School Environment	9) Instructional Techniques	10) Technology
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	4) Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments		
Effective School Correlates			
1) Safe and Orderly Environment			
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
1) Use Resources to Help Meet Standards	6) Opportunities for Professional Development	7) Strategies for Parental Involvement	
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
2) Student Opportunities	3) Instructional	7) Student Transition to Elementary Programs	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Strategies

Goal 6 - Strategy 1 All buildings will be well-equipped			
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Clifton L. Stephens	Brief Description: New facilities will be completed and repairs, renovations and the replacement of existing structures will be brought up to code standards.	Evaluation Benchmark: New structures will meet the required points to be considered a green building. All existing structures will meet codes.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: Jan., 2008 June 2008			
NEW INITIATIVE			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Outside Consultant	Number of FTE's: None	Local Revenue	\$8,000,000.00
District Staff	Bonds		\$8,000,000.00
District Admin. Staff	Cost: \$8,000,000.00		
Contract Service			

Goal 6 - Strategy 1															All buildings will be well-equipped														
Timeline																													
Activity		Person Responsible		A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation													
				u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	y	u	l													
				g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	i														
Build 2 new elementary campuses with a LEED certification. LEED stands for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design.		Clifton L. Stephens							X						X		Progress toward LEED certification.												

Goal 7: Borger ISD will increase the number of parents involved with their children in the educational process.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics	3) Performance - Science	4) Performance - Social Studies
State Objectives			
1) Partnering Parents with Educators	3) Dropout Prevention	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	4) Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	7) Home-School Relations		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
4) Support Regular Education Program	7) Strategies for Parental Involvement		
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
6) Parental Involvement	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs		

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance	Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2006-07	95 %	≥ 96 %	2012-13	≥ 95.2 %	2008
2005-06	95 %				
2004-05	94.9 %				
2003-04	96.4 %				
2002-03	95 %				
2001-02	94.8 %				
2000-01	95.5 %				
1999-00	95.2 %				
1998-99	95.2 %				
1997-98	95.7 %				
1996-97	95.2 %				
1995-96	95.4 %				
1994-95	95.6 %				
1993-94	95.2 %				

Strategies

Goal 7 - Strategy 1 Parents and staff share responsibility			
<i>Supports Attendance - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Liz Denton	Brief Description: Parents will be trained to be efficient volunteers at each campus.	Evaluation Benchmark: Volunteers will sign-in at each campus and provide a needed service for that campus 100%of the time he/she is there.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: December, 2007 May, 2008			
<i>NEW INITIATIVE</i>			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: 2.50	District Budget	\$50,000.00
Staff	Not Specified		\$50,000.00
District Admin. Staff	Cost: \$50,000.00		
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 7 - Strategy 1															Parents and staff share responsibility															
Timeline																														
Activity		Person Responsible		A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation														
				u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a	u	u															
				g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l															
Work with each grade level or content area to develop a curriculum calendar for an entire school year.		Dean of Instruction										X					Workable calendars for all levels													

Goal 7 - Strategy 2 Analyze programs and materials			
<i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades</i>			
Leader(s): Principals	Brief Description: All programs and materials will be evaluated to ensure compatibility with the Curriculum Developer.	Evaluation Benchmark: 100% of materials purchased will be compatible with Curriculum Developer.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: March, 2007 May, 2007			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Teachers	Number of FTE's: None	General Budget	\$50,000.00
Campus Admin. Staff	None Cost: \$50,000.00		\$50,000.00

Goal 7 - Strategy 2		Analyze programs and materials													
Timeline															
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation	
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	y	u	l	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l		
Each core content area will analyze materials that are ordered to ensure compatibility with the Curriculum Developer.	Principals								X		X			March, 2007 May, 2007	

Goal 8: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided so that all students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics	3) Performance - Science	4) Performance - Social Studies
State Objectives			
4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	7) Student Performance	9) Instructional Techniques
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	3) Highly Qualified Staff		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	4) Clear and Focused Mission	
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
1) Use Resources to Help Meet Standards	2) Ensure Planning is Incorporated	3) Use Effective Methods	5) Highly Qualified Teachers
6) Opportunities for Professional Development			
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
3) Instructional	4) Professional Development	8) Include Teachers in Decisions	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	88 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 88.4 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	99 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	92 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 92.6 %	2008
African American	78 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	81 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.8 %	2008
Hispanic	84 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85.2 %	2008
White	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	71 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 74.8 %	2008
African American	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	60 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66 %	2008
Hispanic	61 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 66.8 %	2008
White	76 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.8 %	2008
Special Ed.	52 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 59.6 %	2008
LEP	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	37 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 47.6 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Science

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	64 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 69.2 %	2008
African American	42 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 51.6 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	35 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 46 %	2008
LEP	33 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 44.4 %	2008
Bilingual/ESL	29 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 41.2 %	2008

Indicator: TAKS Social Studies

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	91 %	2007	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 91.8 %	2008
African American	83 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.4 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	54 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 61.2 %	2008
Hispanic	45 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 54 %	2008
White	74 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 77.2 %	2008
Special Ed.	72 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 75.6 %	2008
LEP	85 %	2007	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86 %	2008

Indicator: Completion: Graduated

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	98 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.4 %	2008
African American	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008
Economically Disadvantaged	93 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 94.4 %	2008
Hispanic	100 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 100 %	2008
White	96 %	2007	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 96.8 %	2008

Strategies

Goal 8 - Strategy 1 C-Scope			
<p><i>Supports TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades, TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades, TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades, Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades</i></p>			
Leader(s):	Brief Description:	Evaluation Benchmark:	
Asst. Supt. for Pers. and Curr.	C-Scope will be implemented for grades K-12 in English Language Arts, Math, Science and Social Studies.	100% core curriculum teachers will implement instructional focus documents sequence.	
Leader Progress Report Dates:			
September 29, 2007			
November 10, 2007			
December 22, 2007			
February 16, 2008			
April 5, 2008			
May 24, 2008			
NEW INITIATIVE			
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Transportation Dept.	Number of FTE's: 12.00	Title Budget	\$6,000.00
Time	Fully Title Funded		\$6,000.00
Teachers	Cost: \$6,000.00		
Staff			
Outside Consultant			
District Admin. Staff			
Contract Service			
Central Office			
Campus Admin. Staff			

Goal 8 - Strategy 1		C-Scope												
Timeline														
Activity	Person Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	y	u	l
Principals, central office administrators and dean of instruction personnel will complete training on the Spot a Leader series on the Curriculum Developer.	Linda Rotramel	X		X	X	X		X						100% of the above mentioned personnel will complete all 10 days of Spot a Leader training.
Send teacher leaders from each campus to training on Curriculum Developer or teaching strategies that support conceptual teaching practices.	Linda Rotramel/Principals			X	X			X						Train at least 1 teacher from each grade level or content area on each campus.

APPENDIX I

SHARED DECISION MAKING COMMITTEE

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND DEVELOPMENT LOG

NEEDS ASSESSMENT

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

2007-08 Shared Decision Making Committee				
Position	Name	Subject/Grade	Contact Information	Signature
Classroom Teacher	Mary Lou Lozier	Science	marylou.lozier@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Jeff Pinkerton	Science	jeff.pinkerton@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Janet Fleming	Social Studies	janet.fleming@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Pam Walker	Math Teacher	pam.walker@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Misti McClendon	Math/ELA - BMS	misti.mcclendon@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Elizabeth Skaggs	Spanish	elizabeth.skaggs@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Denise Mongold	3rd grade	denise.mongold@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Lanetta Werhan	2nd grade	lanetta.werhan@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Patricia Wells	Kindergarten	patricia.wells@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	????	5th grade	@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Tana Stephens	Kindergarten	tana.stephens@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Debbie Maxwell	1st grade	debbie.maxwell@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Teresa Bodey	Physical Education Element	teresa.bodey@borgerisd.net	
Classroom Teacher	Jeanie Washer	Special Ed. Secondary	jeanie.washer@borgerisd.net	
Community Representative	Becky Green		bgreen@fpctx.edu	
Non-Classroom Professional Staff	Kaye Smith		kaye.smith@borgerisd.net	
Parent	Julie McMurry		mcjulie@swbell.net	
Parent	Kim Perez		kimperez@oanets.com	
Business Representative	Danny Brawley		brawley@cableone.net	

Business Representative	Jeff Hutchison	teachingpastor@hotmail.com
District Level Professional	Clifton L. Stephens	clifton.stephens@borgerisd.net
District Level Professional	Bobbie Sue Nichols	bobbie.nichols@borgerisd.net
District Level Professional	Patti Brown	patti.brown@borgerisd.net
Ex-officio member	Linda Rotramel	linda.rotamel@borgerisd.net

District Improvement Plan Plan Implementation and Development Log	
Date	Purpose
Wednesday, October 06, 2004	District Site-Based meeting to evaluate previous year's plan. Training conducted regarding policies/procedures, roles and responsibilities, etc.
Monday, January 10, 2005	Hold public meeting to review school report card.
Thursday, November 09, 2006	Review District Site Based Plan and implement 2006-2007 plan.

Needs Assessment

Summative Evaluation for 2006-07

Borger ISD has reviewed the 2004-2005 plan. The site-based committee is proud of the goals that were accomplished during the 2004-2005 school year. It will be our intent to maintain the goals that were met and strive to meet and/or exceed the goals that were not met.

Objective Accomplishments

TAKS Reading - Grade: 3

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	98%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	98.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 98%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 98.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 4

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 79%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 81.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 58%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 64.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 75%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 78%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 77%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 79.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 65%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 70%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 74.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 81.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 66%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 70.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 79%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 81.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 5

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 71%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 74.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 86%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	95%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	96%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	38%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	48.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	92.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	93.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07 38%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 48.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 69%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 73.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 6

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 88.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	77%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	79.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	87.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	50%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	58%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	89.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 89.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07 50%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 58%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 73%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 76.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 89.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 7

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 67%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 71.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 70%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 74%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 66%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 70.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 87%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 88.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 20%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 34%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 77%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 79.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 85%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 65%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 70%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 8

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 91%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 81.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 9

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 96%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 96.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	88%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	82.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	78%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	87%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	81%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	84%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Native American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Asian

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	92%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	82.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	78%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	87%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	81%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	84%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 10

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	53%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	60.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	47%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	55.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	61%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	52%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	59.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 53%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 60.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 23%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 36.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 93%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 11

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 85.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 73%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 76.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 75%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 78%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 50%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 58%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 89.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 82%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 83.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 76%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 78.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 3

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	30%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	42%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	66%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	70.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	69%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	73.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	60%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	67%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	71.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	77%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	79.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	74%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	77.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07	53%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	60.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	63%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	68.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 4

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	81.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	81.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 89.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 67%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 71.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 72%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 75.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	92.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 5

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	90.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	80%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	82%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 91%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 90.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07 33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 62%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 67.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 90.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 6

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 67%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 71.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 60%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 66%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 83%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 84.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 58%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 64.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 72%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 75.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 17%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 31.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 65%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 70%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 74%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07 17%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 31.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 43%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 52.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 67%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 71.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 7

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 62%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 67.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 56%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 62.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 52%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 59.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	52%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	59.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	67%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	71.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	20%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	34%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	62%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	67.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	61%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	35%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	46%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	62%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	67.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 8

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	73%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	76.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	20%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	34%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	62%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	67.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	60%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	82%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	83.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	20%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	34%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	50%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	58%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	73%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	76.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 9

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	51%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	58.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	29%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	41.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	30%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	42%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	64%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	69.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	49%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	57.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	53%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	60.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	23%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	36.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	88.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	72%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	75.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	71%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 54%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 61.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 52%
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 62%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 67.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 60%
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 60%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 66%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 61%
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Writing - Grade: 4

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 91%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 91.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 85%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 81%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 91%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 91.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Writing - Grade: 7

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 96%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 96.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 89.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 93%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
 No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 90.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 60%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 66%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	96%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	96.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Writing - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	94%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	94.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	89.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	87.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: 10

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	65%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	70%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	47%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	55.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	37%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	47.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 74%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 77.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 58%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 64.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 46%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 54.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: 11

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 82%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 83.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 45%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 54%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	67%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	71.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	89.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	30%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	42%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	88.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	78%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	80.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	68%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	72.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: 5

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	57%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	63.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	62%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	67.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	61%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	73%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	76.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	29%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	41.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	13%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	28.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07	69%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	73.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07	13%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	28.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: 8

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	40%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	50%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	69%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	73.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 53%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 60.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 88.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 60%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 66%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 67%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 71.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 56%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 62.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 91%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92.8%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07 75%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 78%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 73%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 76.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 64%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 50%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 58%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 42%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 59%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 65.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 54%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 55%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 62%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 45%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 83%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 74%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 35%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Social Studies - Grade: 10

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 89%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 90.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 85.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 83%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 84.4%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 18.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 86.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 80%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 82%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Social Studies - Grade: 11

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 98%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 98.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 95%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 96%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07 97%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 97.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Social Studies - Grade: 8

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 92.6%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 88.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 94%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 91%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 20%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 34%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Male

Actual Performance for 2006-07 93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Female

Actual Performance for 2006-07	90%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: At Risk

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: GT

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Title I

Actual Performance for 2006-07	92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	93.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

SDAA II Overall - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	97%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	97.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Attendance - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Explanation of Performance

Actual Performance for 2006-07	95%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	95%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Explanation of Performance

Actual Performance for 2006-07	0%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	0%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	0%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Explanation of Performance

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94.6%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.7%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	98%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Analysis Group: African American

Explanation of Performance

Actual Performance for 2006-07	100%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	100%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	100%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Explanation of Performance

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84.2%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	93%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94.1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.1%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	100%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	94.3%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	96%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

AP/IB: % Students Tested - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	10.9%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	11.7%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	9.1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	9.7%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	2.4%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	3.9%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	14.2%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	15.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

AP/IB: % Examinees At or Above Criterion - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	37.1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	38.7%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	2.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	1%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	2.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	38.7%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	40%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Graduating Seniors Taking SAT/ACT - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	52.6%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	56.1%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Graduating Seniors Scoring At or Above Criterion - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	32.9%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	36.3%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	


Explanation of Performance

Needs Assessment Focus

Indicators Rated		Priority Rating	Satisfaction Rating
1	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for math	High	Low
2	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SCIENCE	High	Low
3	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	High	Low
4	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	High	Low
5	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	High	Low
6	(AEIS) Percent of 4th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS WRITING (Spanish version)	High	Low
7	Percent of students passing BIOLOGY EOC Examination	High	Low
8	Percent of students passing ALGEBRA I EOC Examination	High	Low
9	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS MATH	High	Low
10	Annual Student RETENTION RATES	High	Low
11	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR MATH	High	Low
12	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR SCIENCE	High	Low
13	Percent of students ENROLLED IN ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE	High	Low
14	Percent of students ENROLLED IN CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY COURSES	High	Low
15	DISCIPLINE REFERRAL RATES	High	Low
16	(AEIS) Mean Scores of SAT/ACT	Med	Low
17	(AEIS) Percent of graduates scoring high enough on TAAS/TAKS-EXIT to predict success on TASP	High	Med
18	(AEIS) Percent of graduates completing RECOMMENDED HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS	High	Med
19	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	High	Med

20	(AEIS) Percent of High School students completing and receiving credit for at least one ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSE	High	Med
21	(AEIS) Percent of High School students enrolled in ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSES	High	Med
22	Percent of examinees scoring 3 or higher on ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	High	Med
23	Percent of students passing ENGLISH II EOC Examination	High	Med
24	Percent of students demonstrating good CITIZENSHIP SKILLS	High	Med
25	Percent of students demonstrating appropriate SELF-DISCIPLINE	High	Med
26	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES	High	Med
27	(AEIS) Percent of High School graduates scoring at or above state criteria on SAT/ACT	Med	Med
28	Percent of High School students taking ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	Med	Med
29	Percent of total ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS with scores of 3 or higher	Med	Med
30	Percent of students demonstrating ability to WORK PRODUCTIVELY IN A WORK TEAM	Med	Med
31	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CAMPUS RECYCLING PROJECTS	Med	Med
32	Percent of students passing UNITED STATES HISTORY EOC Examination	High	High
33	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS READING	High	High
34	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS WRITING	High	High
35	Percent of students demonstrating master of selected TECHNOLOGICAL SKILLS	High	High
36	Percent of students demonstrating skills for creating and delivering a multi-media presentation	High	High
37	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for reading	Med	High
38	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SOCIAL STUDIES	Med	High
39	Percent of students able to validly respond in the world view of another culture given hypothetical situations	Med	High

Process Chart



- Curriculum Design:** Borger ISD is currently in the process of implementing the Curriculum Developer based on conceptual teaching strategies. The purpose of this curriculum is to ensure that vertical alignment is achieved K-12.
- Resources:** Resources to the Curriculum Developer will be programs already in place in the district such as Saxon Phonics, textbooks, etc.
- Policies and Procedures:** Policies and procedures will support the Curriculum Developer throughout the district.
- Staff and Staff Development:** Staff development will be geared to conceptual teaching strategies supported in the Curriculum Developer.
- Measurement:** The Inova program will be implemented to group students for TAKS tutorials and overall TAKS score improvements.
- Instructional Methods:** Conceptual teaching strategies with K-12 vertical alignment.

Summative Evaluation for year 2007-08

Borger ISD has reviewed the 2004-2005 plan. The site-based committee is proud of the goals that were accomplished during the 2004-2005 school year. It will be our intent to maintain the goals that were met and strive to meet and/or exceed the goals that were not met.

Objective Accomplishments

TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	88.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	91%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	78%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	80.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	83%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	81%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	82.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	81%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	85%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	99%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	99.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS English/Lang. Arts - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	92.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	78%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	80.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	81%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	82.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	85%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	85%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	72%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	75.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	74%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	77.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07	74%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	77.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	74.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	75%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	52%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	59.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	62%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	60%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	68%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	61%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	66.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	66%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	76%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	78.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	80%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	52%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	59.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	35%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	46%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07	37%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	47.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Science - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	64%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	69.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	69%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	42%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	51.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	52%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	54%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	61.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	60%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	45%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	54%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	52%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	74%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	77.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	79%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	35%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	46%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	33%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	44.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Bilingual/ESL

Actual Performance for 2006-07	29%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	41.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Social Studies - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	91.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	91%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	96%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	54%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	61.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	86%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	45%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	54%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	83%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	74%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	77.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07	72%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	75.6%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07	85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	86%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Attendance - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	95%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	95.2%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94.8%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8) - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	0%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	0%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	100%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 6%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 42%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 31%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 62%
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2006-07 0%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08 0%
 Actual Performance for 2007-08 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Completion: Graduated - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2006-07	98%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	98.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	93.5%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2006-07	100%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	100%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	85.7%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2006-07	93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	94.4%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	91.2%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2006-07	100%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	100%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	94.2%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2006-07	96%
Projected Annual Objective for 2007-08	96.8%
Actual Performance for 2007-08	93.8%
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

APPENDIX II

DETAILED GOAL DEFINITIONS

OTHER REFERENCE MATERIALS

State Goals

Goal 1: Performance - English

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: Performance - Mathematics

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: Performance - Science

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

Goal 4: Performance - Social Studies

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

State Objectives

Objective 1: Partnering Parents with Educators

Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Objective 2: Student Potential

Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Objective 3: Dropout Prevention

Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

Objective 4: Curriculum

A well balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.

Objective 5: Prepare Students

Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

Objective 6: School Personnel

Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Objective 7: Student Performance

The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

Objective 8: School Environment

School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Objective 9: Instructional Techniques

Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

Objective 10: Technology

Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators

Goal 1: Students will Reach High Standards

By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

1.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each individual student group, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

Goal 2: LEP will become Proficient in English

All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

2.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year.

2.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.

2.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Goal 3: Highly Qualified Staff

By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

3.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of classes being taught by "highly qualified" teachers (as the term is defined in section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate and in "high-poverty" schools (as the term is defined in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the SEA).

3.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of teachers receiving high-quality professional development (as the term, "professional development," is defined in section 9101 (34)).

3.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parental involvement assistants) who are qualified (see criteria in section 1119(c) and (d)).

Goal 4: Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments

All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.

4.1 Performance indicator: The number of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State.

Goal 5: All Students will Graduate from High School

All students will graduate from high school.

5.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students in the aggregate and in each group who graduate from high school each year with a regular diploma,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

5.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students who drop out of school,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

Effective School Correlates

Correlate 1: Safe and Orderly Environment

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is an orderly, purposeful, businesslike atmosphere which is free from the threat of physical harm. The school climate is not oppressive and is conducive to teaching and learning.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the safe and orderly environment correlate was defined in terms of the absence of undesirable student behavior (e.g., students fighting). In the second generation, the concept of a school environment conducive to learning for all must move beyond the elimination of undesirable behavior. The second generation will place increased emphasis on the presence of certain desirable behaviors (e.g., cooperative team learning). These second generation schools will be places where students actually help one another.

Moving beyond simply the elimination of undesirable behavior will represent a significant challenge for many schools. For example, it is unlikely that a school's faculty could successfully teach its students to work together unless the adults in the school model collaborative behaviors in their own professional working relationships. Since schools as workplaces are characterized by their isolation, creating more collaborative/cooperative environments for both the adults and students will require substantial commitment and change in most schools.

First, teachers must learn the "technologies" of teamwork. Second, the school will have to create the "opportunity structures" for collaboration. Finally, the staff will have to nurture the belief that collaboration, which often requires more time initially, will assist the schools to be more effective and satisfying in the long run.

But schools will not be able to get students to work together cooperatively unless they have been taught to respect human diversity and appreciate democratic values. These student learnings will require a major and sustained commitment to multicultural education. Students and the adults who teach them will need to come to terms with the fact that the United States is no longer a nation with minorities. We are now a nation of minorities. This new reality is currently being resisted by many of our community and parent advocacy groups, as well as by some educators.

Correlate 2: Climate of High Expectations for Success

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a climate of expectation in which the staff believe and demonstrate that all students can attain mastery of the essential school skills, and the staff also believe that they have the capability to help all students achieve that mastery.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, the emphasis placed on high expectations for success will be broadened significantly. In the first generation, expectations were described in terms of attitudes and beliefs that suggested how the teacher should behave in the teaching-learning situation. Those descriptions sought to tell teachers how they should initially deliver the lesson. High expectations meant, for example, that the teacher should evenly distribute questions asked among all students and should provide each student with an equal opportunity to participate in the learning process. Unfortunately, this "equalization of opportunity," though beneficial, proved to be insufficient to assure mastery for many learners. Teachers found themselves in the difficult position of having had high expectations and having acted upon them--yet some students still did not learn.

In the second generation, the teachers will anticipate this and they will develop a broader array of responses. For example, teachers will implement additional strategies, such as reteaching and regrouping, to assure that all students do achieve mastery. Implementing this expanded concept of high expectations will require the school as an organization to reflect high expectations. Most of the useful strategies will require the cooperation of the school as a whole; teachers cannot implement most of these strategies working alone in isolated classrooms.

High expectations for success will be judged, not only by the initial staff beliefs and behaviors, but also by the organization's response when some students do not learn. For example, if the teacher plans a lesson, delivers that lesson, assesses learning and finds that some students did not learn, and still goes on to the next lesson, then that teacher didn't expect the students to learn in the first place. If the school condones through silence that teacher's behavior, it apparently does not expect the students to learn, or the teacher to teach these students.

Several changes are called for in order to implement this expanded concept of high expectations successfully. First, teachers will have to come to recognize that high expectations for student success must be "launched" from a platform of teachers having high expectations for self. Then the school organization will have to be restructured to assure that teachers have access to more "tools" to help them achieve successful learning for all. Third, schools, as cultural organizations, must recognize that schools must be transformed from institutions designed for "instruction" to institutions designed to assure "learning."

Correlate 3: Instructional Leadership

The First Generation: In the effective school, the principal acts as an instructional leader and effectively and persistently communicates that mission to the staff, parents, and students. The principal understands and applies the characteristics of instructional effectiveness in the management of the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the standards for instructional leadership focused primarily on the principal and the administrative staff of the school. In the second generation, instructional leadership will remain important; however, the concept will be broadened and leadership will be viewed as a dispersed concept that includes all adults, especially the teachers. This is in keeping with the teacher empowerment concept; it recognizes that a principal cannot be the only leader in a complex organization like a school. With the democratization of organizations, especially schools, the leadership function becomes one of creating a "community of shared values." The mission will remain critical because it will serve to give the community of shared values a shared sense of "magnetic north," an identification of what this school community cares most about. The role of the principal will be changed to that of "a leader of leaders," rather than a leader of followers. Specifically, the principal will have to develop his/her skills as coach, partner, and cheerleader. The broader concept of leadership recognizes that leadership is always delegated from the followership in any organization. It also recognizes what teachers have known for a long time and what good schools have capitalized on since the beginning of time: namely, expertise is generally distributed among many, not concentrated in a single person.

Correlate 4: Clear and Focused Mission

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a clearly articulated school mission through which the staff shares an understanding of and commitment to the instructional goals, priorities, assessment procedures, and accountability. Staff accepts responsibility for students' learning of the school's essential curricular goals.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the effective school mission emphasized teaching for learning for all. The two issues that surfaced were: "Did this really mean all students or just those with whom the schools had a history of reasonable success?" When it became clear that this mission was inclusive of all students, especially the children of the poor (minority and nonminority), the second issue surfaced. It centered itself around the question: "Learn what?" Partially because of the accountability movement and partially because of the belief that disadvantaged students could not learn higher-level curricula, the focus was on mastery of mostly low-level skills.

In the second generation, the focus will shift toward a more appropriate balance between higher-level learning and those more basic skills that are truly prerequisite to their mastery. Designing and delivering a curriculum that responds to the demands of accountability, and is responsive to the need for higher levels of learning, will require substantial staff development. Teachers will have to be better trained to develop curricula and lessons with the "end in mind." They will have to know and be comfortable with the concept of "backward mapping," and they will need to know "task analysis." These "tools of the trade" are essential for an efficient and effective "results-oriented" school that successfully serves all students.

Finally, a subtle but significant change in the concept of school mission deserves notice. Throughout the first generation, effective schools proponents advocated the mission of teaching for learning for all. In the second generation, the advocated mission will be learning for all. The rationale for this change is that the "teaching for" portion of the old statement created ambiguity (although this was unintended) and kept too much of the focus on "teaching" rather than "learning." This allowed people to discount school learnings that were not the result of direct teaching. Finally, the new formulation of learning for all opens the door to the continued learning of the educators as well as the students.

Correlate 5: Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task

The First Generation: In the effective school, teachers allocate a significant amount of classroom time to instruction in the essential skills. For a high percentage of this time, students are engaged in whole class or large group, teacher-directed, planned learning activities.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, time will continue to be a difficult problem for the teacher. In all likelihood, the problems that arise from too much to teach and not enough time to teach it will intensify. In the past, when the teachers were oriented toward "covering curricular content" and more content was added, they knew their response should be to "speed up." Now teachers are being asked to stress the mission that assures that the students master the content that is covered. How are they to respond? In the next generation, teachers will have to become more skilled at interdisciplinary curriculum and they will need to learn how to comfortably practice "organized abandonment." They will have to be able to ask the question, "What goes and what stays?" One of the reasons that many of the mandated approaches to school reform have failed is that, in every case, the local school was asked to do more! One of the characteristics of the most effective schools is their willingness to declare that some things are more important than others; they are willing to abandon some less important content so as to be able to have enough time dedicated to those areas that are valued the most.

The only alternative to abandonment would be to adjust the available time that students spend in school, so that those who need more time to reach mastery would be given it. The necessary time must be provided in a quality program that is not perceived as punitive by those in it, or as excessive by those who will have to fund it. These conditions will be a real challenge indeed!

If the American dream and the democratic ideal of educating everyone is going to move forward, we must explore several important policies and practices from the past. Regarding the issue of time to learn, for example, if the children of the disadvantaged present a "larger educational task" to the teachers and if it can be demonstrated that this "larger task" will require more time, then our notions of limited compulsory schooling may need to be changed. The current system of compulsory schooling makes little allowance for the fact that some students need more time to achieve mastery. If we could get the system to be more mastery-based and more humane at the same time, our nation and its students would benefit immensely.

Correlate 6: Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress

The First Generation: In the effective school, student academic progress is measured frequently through a variety of assessment procedures. The results of these assessments are used to improve individual student performance and also to improve the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the correlate was interpreted to mean that the teachers should frequently monitor their students' learning and, where necessary, the teacher should adjust his/her behavior. Several major changes can be anticipated in the second generation. First, the use of technology will permit teachers to do a better job of monitoring their students' progress. Second, this same technology will allow students to monitor their own learning and, where necessary, adjust their own behavior. The use of computerized practice tests, the ability to get immediate results on homework, and the ability to see correct solutions developed on the screen are a few of the available "tools for assuring student learning."

A second major change that will become more apparent in the second generation is already under way. In the area of assessment, the emphasis will continue to shift away from standardized norm-referenced, paper-pencil tests and toward curricular-based, criterion-referenced measures of student mastery. In the second generation, the monitoring of student learning will emphasize "more authentic assessments" of curriculum mastery. This generally means that there will be less emphasis on the paper-pencil, multiple-choice tests, and more emphasis on assessments of products of student work, including performances and portfolios.

Teachers will pay much more attention to the alignment that must exist between the intended, taught, and tested curriculum. Two new questions are being stimulated by the reform movement and will dominate much of the professional educators' discourse in the second generation: "What's worth knowing?" and "How will we know when they know it?" In all likelihood, the answer to the first question will become clear relatively quickly, because we can reach agreement that we want our students to be self-disciplined, socially responsible, and just. The problem comes with the second question, "How will we know when they know it?" Educators and citizens are going to have to come to terms with that question. The bad news is that it demands our best thinking and will require patience if we are going to reach consensus. The good news is that once we begin to reach consensus, the schools will be able to deliver significant progress toward these agreed-upon outcomes.

Correlate 7: Home-School Relations

The First Generation: In the effective school, parents understand and support the school's basic mission and are given the opportunity to play an important role in helping the school to achieve this mission.

The Second Generation: During the first generation, the role of parents in the education of their children was always somewhat unclear. Schools often gave "lip service" to having parents more actively involved in the schooling of their children. Unfortunately, when pressed, many educators were willing to admit that they really did not know how to deal effectively with increased levels of parent involvement in the schools.

In the second generation, the relationship between parents and the school must be an authentic partnership between the school and home. In the past when teachers said they wanted more parent involvement, more often than not they were looking for unqualified support from parents. Many teachers believed that parents, if they truly valued education, knew how to get their children to behave in the ways that the school desired. It is now clear to both teachers and parents that the parent involvement issue is not that simple. Parents are often as perplexed as the teachers about the best way to inspire students to learn what the school teaches. The best hope for effectively confronting the problem--and not each other--is to build enough trust and enough communication to realize that both teachers and parents have the same goal--an effective school and home for all children!

Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools

Goal 1: Use Resources to Help Meet Standards

Use such program's resources under this part to help participating children meet such State's challenging student academic achievement standards expected for all children.

Goal 2: Ensure Planning is Incorporated

Ensure that planning for students served under this part is incorporated into existing school planning.

Goal 3: Use Effective Methods

Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that strengthens the core academic program of the school and that -

- Give primary consideration to providing extended learning time, such as an extended school year, before- and after-school, and summer programs and opportunities;
- Help provide an accelerated, high-quality curriculum, including applied learning; and
- Minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction provided under this part.

Goal 4: Support Regular Education Program

Coordinate with and support the regular education program, which may include services to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First or State-run preschool programs to elementary school programs.

Goal 5: Highly Qualified Teachers

Provide instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 6: Opportunities for Professional Development

In accordance with subsection (e)(3) and section 1119, provide opportunities for professional development with resources provided under this part, and, to the extent practicable, from other sources, for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals, including, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff, who work with participating children in programs under this section or in the regular education program.

Goal 7: Strategies for Parental Involvement

Provide strategies to increase parental involvement in accordance with section 1118, such as family literacy services.

Goal 8: Coordinate and Integrate Services and Programs

Coordinate and integrate Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

Title I - Schoolwide Programs

Goal 1: Needs Assessment

A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school (including taking into account the needs of migratory children as defined) that is based on information which includes the achievement of children in relation to the State academic content standards and the State student academic achievement standards as described.

Goal 2: Student Opportunities

- (i) Provide opportunities for all children to meet the State's proficient and advanced levels of student academic achievement;
- (ii) Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that -
 - *strengthen the core academic program in the school;
 - *increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as providing an extended school year and before and after-school and summer programs and opportunities, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;
 - *include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically underserved populations;
- (iii)
 - *include strategies to address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of low-achieving children and those at risk of not meeting the State student academic achievement standards who are members of the target population of any program that is included in the schoolwide program, which may include -
 - counseling, pupil services, and mentoring services;
 - college and career awareness and preparation, personal finance education, and innovative teaching
 - the integration of vocational and technical education programs; and
 - *address how the school will determine if such needs have been met;
- (iv) Are consistent with, and are designed to implement, the State and local improvement plans, if any.

Goal 3: Instructional

Instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 4: Professional Development

High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Goal 5: Professional Staff

Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.

Goal 6: Parental Involvement

Strategies to increase parental involvement such as family literary services.

Goal 7: Student Transition to Elementary Programs

Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs, such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First, or a State-run preschool program, to local elementary school programs.

Goal 8: Include Teachers in Decisions

Measures to include teachers in the decisions regarding the use of academic assessments in order to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.

Goal 9: Identify and Assist with Student Difficulties

Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards required shall be provided with effective, timely additional assistance which shall include measures to ensure that students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis and to provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance.

Goal 10: Federal, State, and Local Programs


Coordination and integration of Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

APPENDIX III

AEIS GRAPHS


Report of TAKS Reading

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of TAKS Math

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of TAKS Writing

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of TAKS Overall

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of SDAA II Reading

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of SDAA II Math

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of SDAA II Writing

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of SDAA II Overall

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Attendance

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Completion: Graduated

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Completion: Received GED

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Completion: Continued HS

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Completion: Dropped Out (4-yr)

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Graduating Seniors Taking SAT/ACT

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Graduating Seniors Scoring At or Above Criterion

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of Mean SAT Scores

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group



Report of Mean ACT Scores

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group



APPENDIX IV

Review of Individual Campuses

Review Of Borger High School

- Goal 1:** All populations will demonstrate academic success by meeting the state standard or surpassing the state average on T.A.K.S., T.A.K.S. - A, T.A.K.S. - M, T.A.K.S. ALT. or other state assessments.
- Goal 2:** Increase attendance of all student populations to 97% or higher.
- Goal 3:** Improve the completion rate of all student populations to 98%.
- Goal 4:** Actively pursue students to enroll and participate in Advanced Placement and Concurrent enrollment courses.
- Goal 5:** Train 100% of the instructional staff on the identification and process to meet the needs of our students.
- Goal 6:** Improve academic success by creating a Collaborative Learning Community among the instructional staff, incorporating vertical and horizontal alignment.
- Goal 7:** Improve curriculum and instruction by implementing and utilizing technology that will enhance instruction with focus on improved student learning.
- Goal 8:** Provide a safe and orderly school environment that equitably enforces the Student Code of Conduct and provides students with a safe, drug-free environment.
- Goal 9:** To increase parent and community involvement in the planning and implementation of the Campus Improvement Plan.

Correlation of Borger High School Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Student Achievement									
2) Student Attendance									
3) Completion Rate									
4) Advanced Placement/Concurrent Enrollment									
5) Staff Development									
6) Learning Environment									
7) Improved Curriculum and Instruction									
8) School Environment									
9) Parent and Community Involvement									

Correlation of Borger High School Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Student Achievement								
2) Student Attendance								
3) Completion Rate								
4) Advanced Placement/Concurrent Enrollment								
5) Staff Development								
6) Learning Environment								
7) Improved Curriculum and Instruction								
8) School Environment								
9) Parent and Community Involvement								

Correlation of Borger High School Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Student Achievement									
2) Student Attendance									
3) Completion Rate									
4) Advanced Placement/Concurrent Enrollment									
5) Staff Development									
6) Learning Environment									
7) Improved Curriculum and Instruction									
8) School Environment									
9) Parent and Community Involvement									

Correlation of Borger High School Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Student Achievement									
2) Student Attendance									
3) Completion Rate									
4) Advanced Placement/Concurrent Enrollment									
5) Staff Development									
6) Learning Environment									
7) Improved Curriculum and Instruction									
8) School Environment									
9) Parent and Community Involvement									

Correlation of Borger High School Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Student Achievement									
2) Student Attendance									
3) Completion Rate									
4) Advanced Placement/Concurrent Enrollment									
5) Staff Development									
6) Learning Environment									
7) Improved Curriculum and Instruction									
8) School Environment									
9) Parent and Community Involvement									

**Review Of
Borger Intermediate School**

- Goal 1:** In the area of student achievement, at least 80% of the students of Borger Intermediate School will demonstrate academic knowledge and skills by meeting passing standards on each area of the TAKS and, when applicable, the TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System).
- Goal 2:** In the area of school climate, Borger Intermediate School will be characterized by respect, safety, order, empathy, and open communication.
- Goal 3:** In the area of student achievement, Borger Intermediate School will recognize and meet the special needs of specific populations evidenced by these students demonstrating progress on report cards and state assessment instruments.
- Goal 4:** In the area of student development, Borger Intermediate School will provide opportunities for student participation in a variety of activities in order to enhance skills in the areas of technology, physical development and health, music, theater, visual art, and academics.
- Goal 5:** In the area of student performance, student attendance will be 96% or higher.
- Goal 6:** In the area of student performance: the student retention rate will be less than 3%.
- Goal 7:** In the area of staff development, Borger Intermediate School will provide teachers and staff opportunities for participation in professional development that will enhance knowledge in curriculum, technology, academic acceleration, student discipline, classroom management, collaboration, best practices and education issues in general.

Correlation of Borger Intermediate School Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6) Retention	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Correlation of Borger Intermediate School Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6) Retention	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Correlation of Borger Intermediate School Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6) Retention	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Review Of
Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan

- Goal 1:** In the area of student achievement, 80% of the Borger Middle School students will demonstrate academic skills by meeting passing standards on the TAKS (Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills) in the content areas of reading, writing, math, science, and social studies.
- Goal 2:** In the area of student performance, student attendance will be at least 96%.
- Goal 3:** In the area of student performance: The student drop-out rate will be 1% or less. The student retention rate will be less than 1%.
- Goal 4:** In the area of school climate, Borger Middle School will be characterized by a safe, orderly, and caring environment that promotes open communication.
- Goal 5:** In the area of student achievement, Borger Middle School will recognize and meet the needs of specific populations measured by progress on report cards and state approved assessment instruments.
- Goal 6:** In the area of student development, Borger Middle School will provide opportunities for participation in activities that develop and enhance skills in the areas of service to others, technology, sports, music, art, and academics.
- Goal 7:** In the area of staff development, Borger Middle School will provide opportunities for participation in training that will enhance knowledge and skills in specific curricular fields, technology, student discipline, parental relations, and general education issues.

Relation of Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan Goals To District G								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X			X	X		
2) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
3) Drop-Out	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
4) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
5) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
6) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Relation of Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan Goals To District G								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X			X	X		
2) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
3) Drop-Out	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
4) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
5) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
6) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Relation of Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan Goals To District G								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Assessment Program	X	X			X	X		
2) Student Attendance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
3) Drop-Out	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
4) School Environment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
5) Special Needs of Specific Populations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
6) Student Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
7) Staff Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Review Of Crockett

- Goal 1:** *** NOT DEFINED ***
- Goal 2:** The staff of Crockett Elementary will work to maintain a positive school climate for all staff members.
- Goal 3:** Crockett Elementary will strive to increase parental involvement
- Goal 4:** Crockett Elementary will seek to maintain an average daily attendance rate of 98%.
- Goal 5:** Crockett Elementary will increase TAKS scores by showing a steady growth in all subgroups
- Goal 6:** Crockett Elementary will provide our English language Learners with innovative educational opportunities.
- Goal 7:** Crockett Elementary will increase community awareness of their ability to bring about positive changes in the educational system
- Goal 8:** Crockett Elementary will stay current with ever changing technology.
- Goal 9:** Crockett Elementary will be involved in professional development activities daily in order to provide students with the best teaching practices.

Correlation of Crockett Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Provide a safe, caring supportive environment for all our students.									
2) Maintain a positive school climate for all staff members									
3) Increase parental involvement									
4) Attendance									
5) TAKS									
6) English Language Learners									
7) Community Awareness									
8) Technology									
9) Professional Development									

Review Of Gateway

- Goal 1:** Gateway Elementary will contribute to higher TAKS scores in the areas of Reading and Math at the 3rd grade level.
- Goal 2:** The staff at Gateway will promote student behavior.
- Goal 3:** Gateway Elementary, having experienced favorable results in the past, will encourage the involvement of parents and community members in activities that assist students and improve student performance.
- Goal 4:** All students can maximize their learning potential and growth when the learning process takes place in a climate of high expectations.

Correlation of Gateway Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) To improve Standardized and Criterion Referenced test scores.									
2) Student Behavior									
3) Home/School Partnership									
4) High Expectations									

Correlation of Gateway Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) To improve Standardized and Criterion Referenced test scores.									
2) Student Behavior									
3) Home/School Partnership									
4) High Expectations									

Review Of
Paul Belton Early Childhood Center

- Goal 1:** Faculty and staff will use age-appropriate, research-based instructional strategies to teach children to process at a high cognitive level and become independent thinkers.
- Goal 2:** Students will develop age-appropriate academic skills at a high cognitive level.
- Goal 3:** PBECC will strive to maintain a 95% attendance rate in all programs.

Correlation of Paul Belton Early Childhood Center Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Professional Development to enhance Student Achievement	X		X	X	X				X
2) Age-Appropriate Academic Skills at a High Cognitive Level	X		X	X	X				X
3) Attendance Rate	X			X	X			X	

Correlation of Paul Belton Early Childhood Center Goals To District Goals									
Campus Goals	District Goals								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1) Professional Development to enhance Student Achievement	X		X	X	X				X
2) Age-Appropriate Academic Skills at a High Cognitive Level	X		X	X	X				X
3) Attendance Rate	X			X	X			X	

Correlation of Paul Belton Early Childhood Center Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Professional Development to enhance Student Achievement	X		X	X	X			X
2) Age-Appropriate Academic Skills at a High Cognitive Level	X		X	X	X			X
3) Attendance Rate	X			X	X		X	

Correlation of Paul Belton Early Childhood Center Goals To District Goals								
Campus Goals	District Goals							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1) Professional Development to enhance Student Achievement	X		X	X	X			X
2) Age-Appropriate Academic Skills at a High Cognitive Level	X		X	X	X			X
3) Attendance Rate	X			X	X		X	

APPENDIX V


Composite Review of Campuses

Correlation of Campus Goals To District Goals					
Campus	District Goals				
	1	2	3	4	5
Borger High School					
Borger Intermediate School	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7		2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Crockett					
Gateway					
Paul Belton Early Childhood Center	1, 2, 3		1, 2	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3

Correlation of Campus Goals To District Goals			
Campus	District Goals		
	6	7	8
Borger High School			
Borger Intermediate School	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Borger Middle School Campus Improvement Plan	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	
Crockett			
Gateway			
Paul Belton Early Childhood Center		3	1, 2


Report of TAKS Reading

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS English/Lang. Arts

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Math

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Writing

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of TAKS Science

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of TAKS Social Studies

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Overall

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Reading (Spanish)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Math (Spanish)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Writing (Spanish)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Science (Spanish)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Overall (Spanish)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SDAA II Reading

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SDAA II English/Lang. Arts

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SDAA II Math

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SDAA II Writing

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SDAA II Overall

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Tested, Total

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Tested, TAKS/SDAA II

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Tested, SDAA II Only

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Tested, Acct Subset

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Tested, Mobile

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Not Tested, Absent

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Not Tested, ARD Exempt

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS/SDAA II Particip., Not Tested, LEP Exempt

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Progress of Prior Yr. TAKS Failers, Reading/ELA

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Progress of Prior Yr. TAKS Failers, Math

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SSI Reading - Acc. Instruction Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SSI Reading - 2nd Admin. Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SSI Math - Acc. Instruction Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of SSI Math - 2nd Admin. Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Beg. Last Year/Beg. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Beg. Last Year/Int. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Beg. Last Year/Adv. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTE Beg. Last Year/Adv. High This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Int. Last Year/Beg. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTE Int. Last Year/Int. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Int. Last Year/Adv. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTE Int. Last Year/Adv. High This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Adv. Last Year/Beg. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Adv. Last Year/Int. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Adv. Last Year/Adv. This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of RPTe Adv. Last Year/Adv. High This Year

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TELPAS: % of K-2 showing progress

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TELPAS: % of 3-12 showing progress

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of TELPAS: % of K-2 attaining Advanced High


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Attaining Advanced High

Report of TELPAS: % of 3-12 attaining Advanced High


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Attaining Advanced High


Report of Attendance

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-8)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Completion: Graduated

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Completion: Received GED

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Completion: Continued HS

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Completion: Dropped Out (4-yr)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of % Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Taking Advanced Courses/Dual Enrollment Completion

Report of RHSP/DAP


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Enrolled in Recommended Programs


Report of AP/IB: % Students Tested

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of AP/IB: % Examinees At or Above Criterion


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% At or Above Criterion


Report of AP/IB: % Scores At or Above Criterion

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Graduating Seniors Taking SAT/ACT

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of Graduating Seniors Scoring At or Above Criterion


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Scoring At or Above Criterion


Report of Mean SAT Scores

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Mean ACT Scores

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of AEA - TAKS Progress

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of AEA - SDAA II

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of AEA - Completion Rate II

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of AEA - Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of Alternative - GED Completion


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% Completing All Requirements for GED Certificate of Completion

Report of Alternative - GED Sections


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



% of GED Sections Passed


Report of Alternative - Percent Courses Complete

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Percent Credits Completed

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of Alternative - Average Number of Courses Passed


Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Number of Courses Passed


Report of Alternative - Average Credits Passed

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Promotion Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Annual Completion Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Attendance Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Dropout Rate

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Recovered Dropouts

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of Alternative - Texas Learning Index

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Reading

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Math

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Writing

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Social Studies

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Science

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt Special Ed. Overall

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt LEP Reading

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District




Report of TAKS Exempt LEP Math

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of TAKS Exempt LEP Writing

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District



Report of TAKS Exempt LEP

Composite Graph of Current Performance for All Schools in the District

