

Crockett

Campus Improvement Plan

2010-11

The Mission of Crockett Elementary School, is to provide a quality education through the combined efforts of staff, parents and students.

Goal 1: Crockett Elementary will increase TAKS reading scores to 90% mastery in each subgroup.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
2) Performance - Mathematics			
State Objectives			
2) Student Potential	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	6) School Personnel
7) Student Performance			
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	2) LEP will become Proficient in English	3) Highly Qualified Staff	
Effective School Correlates			
4) Clear and Focused Mission	6) Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
5) Highly Qualified Teachers			
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	2) Student Opportunities	3) Instructional	4) Professional Development
5) Professional Staff			

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: 3

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	88%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 89%	2011
African American	83%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 86.5%	2011
Economically Disadvantaged	83%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 86.5%	2011
Hispanic	83%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 86.5%	2011
White	92%	2010	≥ 93%	2012-13	≥ 92.5%	2011
Special Ed.	86%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 88%	2011
LEP	64%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 77%	2011

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: 4

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	79%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 84.5%	2011
African American	73%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 81.5%	2011
Economically Disadvantaged	70%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 80%	2011
Hispanic	78%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 84%	2011
White	79%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 84.5%	2011
Special Ed.	73%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 81.5%	2011
LEP	56%	2010	≥ 90%	2012-13	≥ 73%	2011

Strategies

Goal 1 - Strategy 1 TAKS Reading Scores			
<p>Leader(s): Principal</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: October 2010 November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will have at least 90% of all student groups passing the Reading TAKS test in 2011 and 2012.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will have skills based benchmark tests every two weeks. The data from the tests will be disaggregated and students not meeting mastery of 70 percent will be targeted for specific skill interventions during RTI and tutorials until each student has achieved at least 70% mastery.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: Teachers Staff Counselor</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 29.00 Partially Title Funded Cost: \$1,423,381.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00 \$0.00</p>

Goal 1 - Strategy 1		TAKS Reading Scores												
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
Teachers will use campus data obtained from KILGO training to target TAKS Reading objectives that have been low during the last 3 years and differentiate their instruction to meet the various needs of the students until at least 90% of the students have mastered that skill.	Principal, Classroom Teachers		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Lesson Plan Audits Instructional Focus Document
All students, including students in special education, will take a teacher made skills-based reading benchmark every two weeks to determine their level of mastery in reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Biweekly benchmarks
Students not mastering the biweekly benchmark tests will receive remediation services during RTI.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Biweekly benchmarks
Students not mastering skills on the biweekly benchmark tests and not improving with the remediation during RTI will receive tutorials before or after school targeting skills with which the student continues to struggle.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teacher						X	X	X	X				Biweekly Benchmarks
Students who are one to one and a half years below grade level in reading will spend a minimum of 60 minutes a week on the computer based program iStation before or after school.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			STAR testing iStation monthly testing
Students will use Study Island to target weak skills.	Principal, Classroom Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Biweekly benchmarks Study Island

Goal 1 - Strategy 1		TAKS Reading Scores												Evaluation
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	r	y	n	l
Borger ISD will develop a summer tutorial program to address students who did not master grade level objectives throughout the 2010-2011 school year.	Principal											X	X	
Students targeted for reading intervention will undergo a screening. Those who qualify will receive intervention through our reading recovery teachers four times a week.	Reading Recovery teachers					X	X	X	X	X				

Goal 2: Crockett Elementary will increase TAKS math scores to 90% mastery in each subgroup.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English			
State Objectives			
2) Student Potential	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	6) School Personnel
7) Student Performance			
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	2) LEP will become Proficient in English	3) Highly Qualified Staff	
Effective School Correlates			
4) Clear and Focused Mission	6) Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
5) Highly Qualified Teachers			
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	2) Student Opportunities	3) Instructional	4) Professional Development
5) Professional Staff			

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: 3

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	92 %	2010	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 93.5 %	2011
African American	83 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86.5 %	2011
Economically Disadvantaged	84 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 87 %	2011
Hispanic	91 %	2010	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 93 %	2011
White	93 %	2010	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 94 %	2011
Special Ed.	75 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 82.5 %	2011
LEP	84 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 87 %	2011

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: 4

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	85 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 87.5 %	2011
African American	80 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 85 %	2011
Economically Disadvantaged	79 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 84.5 %	2011
Hispanic	83 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 86.5 %	2011
White	88 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 89 %	2011
Special Ed.	67 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.5 %	2011
LEP	70 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 80 %	2011

Strategies

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 TAKS Math Scores			
<p>Leader(s): None</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: October 2010 November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will have at least 90% of all student groups passing the Math TAKS test in 2011 and 2012.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will have skills based benchmark tests every two weeks. The data from the tests will be disaggregated and students not meeting mastery of 70 percent will be targeted for specific skill interventions during RTI and tutorials until each student has achieved at least 70% mastery.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: Teachers Staff School Library Library Counselor</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 29.00 Partially Title Funded Cost: \$1,423,381.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00 \$0.00</p>

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 TAKS Math Scores

Computers

Goal 2 - Strategy 1		TAKS Math Scores												
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
Teachers will use campus data obtained from KILGO training to target TAKS Math objectives that have been low during the last 3 years and differentiate their instruction to meet the various needs of the students until at least 90% of the students have mastered that skill.	Principal, Classroom Teachers		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Lesson Plan Audits Instructional Focus Document
All students, including those in special education, will take a teacher made skills-based reading benchmark every two weeks to determine their level of mastery in reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Biweekly benchmarks
Students not mastering the biweekly benchmark tests will receive remediation services during RTI.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teachers													Biweekly Benchmarks
Students not mastering skills on the biweekly benchmark tests and not improving with the remediation during RTI will receive tutorials before or after school targeting skills with which the student continues to struggle.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teacher						X	X	X	X				Biweekly Benchmarks
Students will use Study Island to target weak skills.	Principal, Counselor, Classroom Teachers Principal,		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Borger ISD will develop a summer tutorial program to address students who did not master grade level objectives throughout the 2010-2011 school year.	Principal											X	X	

Goal 3: Crockett Elementary will increase TAKS Writing scores to 90% mastery in each subgroup.

Correlates with:

District Goals			
1) Safe School Environment			
State Goals			
2) Performance - Mathematics			
State Objectives			
4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	6) School Personnel	7) Student Performance
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	2) LEP will become Proficient in English	3) Highly Qualified Staff	
Effective School Correlates			
4) Clear and Focused Mission	6) Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools			
5) Highly Qualified Teachers			
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	2) Student Opportunities	3) Instructional	4) Professional Development
5) Professional Staff			

Indicator: TAKS Writing

Grade: 4

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	98 %	2010	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99 %	2011
African American	93 %	2010	≥ 95 %	2012-13	≥ 94 %	2011
Economically Disadvantaged	98 %	2010	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99 %	2011
Hispanic	97 %	2010	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98.5 %	2011
White	99 %	2010	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 99.5 %	2011
Special Ed.	67 %	2010	≥ 90 %	2012-13	≥ 78.5 %	2011
LEP	96 %	2010	≥ 100 %	2012-13	≥ 98 %	2011

Strategies

Goal 3 - Strategy 1 TAKS Writing			
<p>Leader(s): Principal, Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: January 2011 January 2012</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will have at least 90% of all student groups passing the Writing TAKS test in 2011 and 2012.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will have a released TAKS test benchmark in January of 2011 to determine who has mastered the writing concepts and who needs tutorials to address weak objectives.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: None</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 6.00 Partially Title Funded Cost: \$332,482.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <p>\$0.00</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00</p>

Goal 3 - Strategy 1 TAKS Writing														
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
All students, including those in special education, will take a benchmark test to determine their level of mastery on writing objectives.	Principal, classroom teachers						X							Benchmark Test
Students not mastering at least 70% of the writing objectives will receive remediation during RTI during the school day.	Classroom Teachers						X	X	X					Benchmark tests
Students who are not improving on writing objectives during RTI remediation time will receive before or after school tutorials.	Classroom teachers						X	X	X					Benchmark Tests

Goal 4: Crockett Elementary will increase parental involvement by 10% in 2010-2011 and another 10% in 2011-2012.

Correlates with:

State Goals				
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics			
State Objectives				
1) Partnering Parents with Educators	2) Student Potential	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	
6) School Personnel	8) School Environment	9) Instructional Techniques		
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators				
1) Students will Reach High Standards	2) LEP will become Proficient in English	3) Highly Qualified Staff		
Effective School Correlates				
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	4) Clear and Focused Mission		
Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools				
4) Support Regular Education Program	5) Highly Qualified Teachers	7) Strategies for Parental Involvement		
Title I - Schoolwide Programs				
6) Parental Involvement	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs			

Strategies

Goal 4 - Strategy 1				Parental Involvement	
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will increase parental involvement by 10% in the 2010-2011 school year and another 10% in the 2011-2012 school year by offering monthly opportunities for parents to come to the school to assist their child in their school work.		Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will collect classroom sign in sheets from the 2009-2010, 2010-2011, and 2011-2012 school years and compare the number of parents sign-ins from one year to the next.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: August 2010 September 2010 October 2010 November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 August 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012					
Resources Required:		FTE's Required:		Source of Funds:	
Teachers		Number of FTE's: 3.00		None	
Staff		Partially Title Funded			
School Library		Cost: \$184,253.00			
				Amount	
				\$0.00	
				<hr/>	
				\$0.00	

Goal 4 - Strategy 1 Parental Involvement

Parent Involvement
Counselor
Campus Admin. Staff

Goal 4 - Strategy 1		Parental Involvement												
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Crockett Elementary will have a Parental Involvement Committee to brainstorm ideas on how to increase parents in school activities at Crockett Elementary.	Principal, Parent Involvement Coordinator, Staff		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			The PIC will meet as least once a month.
Crockett Elementary will host Thursday Nights Together (TNT). The event will bring parents and students to the school for one evening a month to work on academic skills that students are struggling with.	Principal, Parent Involvement Coordinator			X	X	X	X	X	X	X				TNT activities October through April
Crockett Elementary provided all parents written notice regarding the school's "identified for improvement" status with an August 6, 2010 mail out.	Principal, Federal programs Director													August 6, 2010

Goal 5: The staff of Crockett Elementary will provide a safe, caring, supportive environment for all students.

Correlates with:

<p>District Goals</p> <p>1) Safe School Environment</p> <p>Effective School Correlates</p> <p>1) Safe and Orderly Environment</p>

Strategies

Goal 5 - Strategy 1 Safe School Environment			
<p>Leader(s): Principal, Counselor, Staff members</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: August 2010 September 2010 October 2010 November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 August 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will provide a safe school environment for all students.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will rehearse emergency procedures such as fire drills, tornado drills, and lock down procedures once time every month.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: Teachers Staff Counselor</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 40.00 None Cost: \$1,726,274.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount \$0.00 <hr/>\$0.00</p>

Goal 5 - Strategy 1 Safe School Environment

Campus Admin. Staff

Goal 5 - Strategy 1 Safe School Environment														
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Crockett Elementary will participate in fire drills every month.	Principal, Crockett Staff	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		Fire Drill every month
Crockett Elementary will participate in tornado drills once a month during severe weather months.	Principal, Crockett Staff								X	X	X			Tornado drills once a month during severe weather months (March-May).
Crockett Elementary will participate in lock down procedures twice a year.	Principal, Crockett Staff				X			X						Two lock down drills a year, one in the fall and one in the spring.

Goal 5 - Strategy 2		Watch D.O.G.S.	
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will continue to implement the Watch D.O.G.S. program to involve male-authority figures in the security of the students.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 August 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012		Evaluation Benchmark: Crockett Elementary will have at least one Watch D.O.G.S. on campus every week.	
Resources Required:	FTE's Required:	Source of Funds:	Amount
Campus Admin. Staff	Number of FTE's: 2.00	Camp. Activity Fund Budget	\$2,000.00
Parent Involvement	None Cost: None		<hr/> \$2,000.00

Goal 5 - Strategy 2		Watch D.O.G.S.												
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	y	u	
		g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	n	l	
Crockett Elementary will host a Watch D.O.G.S kick off program to generate a pool of volunteers .	Principal				X									November 2010 September 2011
Crockett Elementary will host a second Watch DOGS sign up event early Spring to remind male-authority figures to volunteer.	Principal, Parent Involvement Coordinator								X					Sign up event March 2011, February 2012.

Goal 6: 100% of the Crockett Elementary staff will participate in professional development that will enhance the learning of the students.

Correlates with:

State Goals	
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics
State Objectives	
2) Student Potential	3) Dropout Prevention
Title I - Schoolwide Programs	
4) Professional Development	5) Professional Staff

Strategies

Goal 6 - Strategy 1 Professional Development			
<p>Leader(s): Principal</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: August 2010 September 2010 October 2010 November 2010 December 2010 January 2011 February 2011 March 2011 April 2011 May 2011 August 2011 September 2011 October 2011 November 2011 December 2011 January 2012 February 2012 March 2012 April 2012 May 2012</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will seek professional development opportunities that will address our low-performing areas and will spend at least 10% of the Campus Title 1, part A allocation. funds on professional development.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Professional development addresses low performing areas 1 x per session.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: None</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 40.00 Partially Title Funded Cost: \$1,726,274.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00 \$0.00</p>

Goal 6 - Strategy 1 Professional Development														
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	Evaluation
		u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	u	u	
Staff members will complete a professional development pre-approval document for each professional development opportunity.	Crockett Staff, Principal	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Professional Development Pre-Approval Form for each professional development opportunity.
Crockett Elementary will become trained in the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) and use the standards in their daily classroom lessons.	Principal	X												Professional Development in the ELPS.
Crockett Elementary staff who are ESL endorsed and have LEP students in their classroom will become SIOP trained in the 2010-2011 school year and all teachers will become SIOP trained by the 2011-2012 school year.	Crockett Staff, Principal				X									SIOP training through Region 16 November 2010.
Staff members who are new to Crockett Elementary will have mentors teachers.	Principal, Mentor Teachers	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Teachers will complete training in KILGO Scope and Sequence and train and implement the strategies in their classrooms.													X	
Teachers with ESL students will complete the training 50 Strategies for Teaching the ELL.				X	X	X	X	X	X	X				

Goal 7: Crockett Elementary will seek to obtain and maintain an average of 98% daily attendance.

Correlates with:

State Goals				
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics			
State Objectives				
2) Student Potential	3) Dropout Prevention	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	
7) Student Performance				

Strategies

Goal 7 - Strategy 1		Attendance	
<p>Leader(s): Principal</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: Daily attendance Weekly attendance checks</p>	<p>Brief Description: Crockett Elementary will seek to obtain and maintain an average of 98% daily attendance.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Attendance 1 time a day</p>	
<p>Resources Required: None</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 2.00 Local Cost: \$89,982.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>	<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00</p>

Goal 7 - Strategy 1 Attendance														
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Crockett Elementary Attendance Clerk will daily call parents of students who are absent.	Attendance Clerk	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		Daily Calls
Students who have perfect attendance for the six weeks will have their name entered into a drawing for a bicycle.	Principal, Rotary Club			X	X		X		X	X				Bicycle drawing once per six week.
Students with perfect attendance during a six weeks will receive a coupon for a free pizza from Pizza Duo	Principal, Pizza Duo owner			X	X		X		X	X				Pizza certificate each six weeks.

Goal 8: Crockett Elementary will be provided technical assistance through central office involvement in campus improvement goals and activities. Support includes, but is not limited to:

- SIP Application Support
- Instructional Support
- Funding
- Leadership

Correlates with:

Strategies

Goal 8 - Strategy 1		SIP Application Process	
<p>Leader(s): Principal, Federal Programs Director</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: October 2010 October 2011</p>		<p>Brief Description: The SIP Application will be completed by the Federal Programs Director with input from the Crockett Elementary principal.</p>	
<p>Resources Required: None</p>		<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: 3.00 Local Cost: \$345,595.00</p>	<p>Source of Funds: None</p>
			<p>Amount</p> <hr/> <p>\$0.00</p>

Goal 8 - Strategy 1 SIP Application Process

Timeline

Goal 8 - Strategy 2		Instructional Support	
Leader(s): Campus Principal		Brief Description: Borger ISD central office will provide professional development to enhance student learning.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: None		Evaluation Benchmark:	
Resources Required: None	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: None	Amount \$0.00 <hr/> \$0.00

Goal 8 - Strategy 2		Instructional Support												
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y	J u n	J u l	Evaluation
Borger ISD will complete professional development in Collaborative Coaching.		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Goal 8 - Strategy 3		Leadership	
Leader(s): Superintendent		Brief Description: Central Office leadership will meet with district leadership regularly to address areas of concern and student achievement.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: None		Evaluation Benchmark:	
Resources Required: None	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: None	Amount \$0.00 <hr/> \$0.00

Goal 8 - Strategy 3	Leadership
Timeline	

APPENDIX I

SHARED DECISION MAKING COMMITTEE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND DEVELOPMENT LOG NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

2010-11 Shared Decision Making Committee				
Position	Name	Subject/Grade	Contact Information	Signature
Principal	Jayson Hataway		806-273-1054	
Classroom Teacher	Becky Maxwell	4th Grade ELA	806-273-1054	
Classroom Teacher	Michelle McNutt	4th Grade Math	806-273-1054	
Classroom Teacher	Christy Showers	3rd Grade Teacher	806-273-1054	
Classroom Teacher	Kim Wilson	3rd Grade Teacher	806-273-1054	
Non-Classroom Professional Staff	Jackie Marshall		806-273-1054	
Non-Classroom Professional Staff	Jane Mathis		806-273-1054	

Campus Improvement Plan Plan Implementation and Development Log	
--	--

Date	Purpose
------	---------

Needs Assessment

Summative Evaluation for 2009-10

Needs Assessment Focus

Indicators Rated


		Priority Rating	Satisfaction Rating
1	(AEIS) Percent of 4th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS WRITING (Spanish version)	NR	NR
2	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS READING	NR	NR
3	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS MATH	NR	NR
4	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS WRITING	NR	NR
5	Annual Student RETENTION RATES	NR	NR
6	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR MATH	NR	NR
7	Percent of students ENROLLED IN ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE	NR	NR

Indicators Not Rated

		Priority Rating	Satisfaction Rating
1	(AEIS) Mean Scores of SAT/ACT	NR	NR
2	(AEIS) Percent of High School graduates scoring at or above state criteria on SAT/ACT	NR	NR
3	(AEIS) Percent of graduates scoring high enough on TAAS/TAKS-EXIT to predict success on TASP	NR	NR
4	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for reading	NR	NR
5	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for math	NR	NR
6	(AEIS) Percent of graduates completing RECOMMENDED HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS	NR	NR
7	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SOCIAL STUDIES	NR	NR
8	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SCIENCE	NR	NR
9	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	NR	NR
10	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	NR	NR
11	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	NR	NR

12	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	NR	NR
13	(AEIS) Percent of High School students completing and receiving credit for at least one ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSE	NR	NR
14	(AEIS) Percent of High School students enrolled in ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSES	NR	NR
15	Percent of examinees scoring 3 or higher on ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	NR	NR
16	Percent of High School students taking ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	NR	NR
17	Percent of total ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS with scores of 3 or higher	NR	NR
18	Percent of students passing ENGLISH II EOC Examination	NR	NR
19	Percent of students passing UNITED STATES HISTORY EOC Examination	NR	NR
20	Percent of students passing BIOLOGY EOC Examination	NR	NR
21	Percent of students passing ALGEBRA I EOC Examination	NR	NR
22	Percent of students demonstrating master of selected TECHNOLOGICAL SKILLS	NR	NR
23	Percent of students demonstrating skills for creating and delivering a multi-media presentation	NR	NR
24	Percent of students able to validly respond in the world view of another culture given hypothetical situations	NR	NR
25	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR SCIENCE	NR	NR
26	Percent of students ENROLLED IN CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY COURSES	NR	NR
27	DISCIPLINE REFERRAL RATES	NR	NR
28	Percent of students demonstrating good CITIZENSHIP SKILLS	NR	NR
29	Percent of students demonstrating ability to WORK PRODUCTIVELY IN A WORK TEAM	NR	NR
30	Percent of students demonstrating appropriate SELF-DISCIPLINE	NR	NR
31	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CAMPUS RECYCLING PROJECTS	NR	NR
32	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES	NR	NR

Process Chart



Summative Evaluation for year 2010-11

This section should be completed after you have finished your plan for the current year. This should be the last step before creating your plan for next year.

Objective Accomplishments

TAKS Reading - Grade: 3

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2009-10	88%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	89%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2009-10	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	86.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2009-10	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	86.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2009-10	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	86.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2009-10	92%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	92.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2009-10	86%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	88%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: LEP**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	64%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	77%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Reading - Grade: 4**Analysis Group: All Students**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	79%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	84.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: African American**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	73%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	81.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	80%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: Hispanic**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	78%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	84%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2009-10 79%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 84.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2009-10 73%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 81.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2009-10 56%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 73%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: 3

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2009-10 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 93.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2009-10 83%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 86.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2009-10 84%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 87%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2009-10	91%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	93%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: White**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	93%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	94%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: Special Ed.**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	82.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: LEP**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	87%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**TAKS Math - Grade: 4****Analysis Group: All Students**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	85%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	87.5%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance**Analysis Group: African American**

Actual Performance for 2009-10	80%
Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11	85%
Actual Performance for 2010-11	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2009-10 79%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 84.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2009-10 83%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 86.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2009-10 88%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 89%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2009-10 67%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 78.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2009-10 70%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 80%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Writing - Grade: 4

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2009-10 98%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 99%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2009-10 93%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 94%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2009-10 98%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 99%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2009-10 97%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 98.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2009-10 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 99.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Special Ed.

Actual Performance for 2009-10 67%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 78.5%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: LEP

Actual Performance for 2009-10 96%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2010-11 98%
 Actual Performance for 2010-11 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

APPENDIX II

DETAILED GOAL DEFINITIONS

OTHER REFERENCE MATERIALS

District Goals

Goal 1: Safe School Environment

Provide a safe, caring, supportive environment for all our students

State Goals

Goal 1: Performance - English

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: Performance - Mathematics

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: Performance - Science

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

Goal 4: Performance - Social Studies

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

State Objectives

Objective 1: Partnering Parents with Educators

Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Objective 2: Student Potential

Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Objective 3: Dropout Prevention

Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

Objective 4: Curriculum

A well balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.

Objective 5: Prepare Students

Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

Objective 6: School Personnel

Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Objective 7: Student Performance

The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

Objective 8: School Environment

School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Objective 9: Instructional Techniques

Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

Objective 10: Technology

Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators

Goal 1: Students will Reach High Standards

By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

1.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each individual student group, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

Goal 2: LEP will become Proficient in English

All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

2.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year.

2.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.

2.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Goal 3: Highly Qualified Staff

By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

3.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of classes being taught by "highly qualified" teachers (as the term is defined in section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate and in "high-poverty" schools (as the term is defined in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the SEA).

3.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of teachers receiving high-quality professional development (as the term, "professional development," is defined in section 9101 (34).

3.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parental involvement assistants) who are qualified (see criteria in section 1119(c) and (d)).

Goal 4: Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments

All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.

4.1 Performance indicator: The number of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State.

Goal 5: All Students will Graduate from High School

All students will graduate from high school.

5.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students in the aggregate and in each group who graduate from high school each year with a regular diploma,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

5.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students who drop out of school,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

Effective School Correlates

Correlate 1: Safe and Orderly Environment

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is an orderly, purposeful, businesslike atmosphere which is free from the threat of physical harm. The school climate is not oppressive and is conducive to teaching and learning.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the safe and orderly environment correlate was defined in terms of the absence of undesirable student behavior (e.g., students fighting). In the second generation, the concept of a school environment conducive to learning for all must move beyond the elimination of undesirable behavior. The second generation will place increased emphasis on the presence of certain desirable behaviors (e.g., cooperative team learning). These second generation schools will be places where students actually help one another.

Moving beyond simply the elimination of undesirable behavior will represent a significant challenge for many schools. For example, it is unlikely that a school's faculty could successfully teach its students to work together unless the adults in the school model collaborative behaviors in their own professional working relationships. Since schools as workplaces are characterized by their isolation, creating more collaborative/cooperative environments for both the adults and students will require substantial commitment and change in most schools.

First, teachers must learn the "technologies" of teamwork. Second, the school will have to create the "opportunity structures" for collaboration. Finally, the staff will have to nurture the belief that collaboration, which often requires more time initially, will assist the schools to be more effective and satisfying in the long run.

But schools will not be able to get students to work together cooperatively unless they have been taught to respect human diversity and appreciate democratic values. These student learnings will require a major and sustained commitment to multicultural education. Students and the adults who teach them will need to come to terms with the fact that the United States is no longer a nation with minorities. We are now a nation of minorities. This new reality is currently being resisted by many of our community and parent advocacy groups, as well as by some educators.

Correlate 2: Climate of High Expectations for Success

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a climate of expectation in which the staff believe and demonstrate that all students can attain mastery of the essential school skills, and the staff also believe that they have the capability to help all students achieve that mastery.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, the emphasis placed on high expectations for success will be broadened significantly. In the first generation, expectations were described in terms of attitudes and beliefs that suggested how the teacher should behave in the teaching-learning situation. Those descriptions sought to tell teachers how they should initially deliver the lesson. High expectations meant, for example, that the teacher should evenly distribute questions asked among all students and should provide each student with an equal opportunity to participate in the learning process. Unfortunately, this "equalization of opportunity," though beneficial, proved to be insufficient to assure mastery for many learners. Teachers found themselves in the difficult position of having had high expectations and having acted upon them--yet some students still did not learn.

In the second generation, the teachers will anticipate this and they will develop a broader array of responses. For example, teachers will implement additional strategies, such as reteaching and regrouping, to assure that all students do achieve mastery. Implementing this expanded concept of high expectations will require the school as an organization to reflect high expectations. Most of the useful strategies will require the cooperation of the school as a whole; teachers cannot implement most of these strategies working alone in isolated classrooms.

High expectations for success will be judged, not only by the initial staff beliefs and behaviors, but also by the organization's response when some students do not learn. For example, if the teacher plans a lesson, delivers that lesson, assesses learning and finds that some students did not learn, and still goes on to the next lesson, then that teacher didn't expect the students to learn in the first place. If the school condones through silence that teacher's behavior, it apparently does not expect the students to learn, or the teacher to teach these students.

Several changes are called for in order to implement this expanded concept of high expectations successfully. First, teachers will have to come to recognize that high expectations for student success must be "launched" from a platform of teachers having high expectations for self. Then the school organization will have to be restructured to assure that teachers have access to more "tools" to help them achieve successful learning for all. Third, schools, as cultural organizations, must recognize that schools must be transformed from institutions designed for "instruction" to institutions designed to assure "learning."

Correlate 3: Instructional Leadership

The First Generation: In the effective school, the principal acts as an instructional leader and effectively and persistently communicates that mission to the staff, parents, and students. The principal understands and applies the characteristics of instructional effectiveness in the management of the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the standards for instructional leadership focused primarily on the principal and the administrative staff of the school. In the second generation, instructional leadership will remain important; however, the concept will be broadened and leadership will be viewed as a dispersed concept that includes all adults, especially the teachers. This is in keeping with the teacher empowerment concept; it recognizes that a principal cannot be the only leader in a complex organization like a school. With the democratization of organizations, especially schools, the leadership function becomes one of creating a "community of shared values." The mission will remain critical because it will serve to give the community of shared values a shared sense of "magnetic north," an identification of what this school community cares most about. The role of the principal will be changed to that of "a leader of leaders," rather than a leader of followers. Specifically, the principal will have to develop his/her skills as coach, partner, and cheerleader. The broader concept of leadership recognizes that leadership is always delegated from the followership in any organization. It also recognizes what teachers have known for a long time and what good schools have capitalized on since the beginning of time: namely, expertise is generally distributed among many, not concentrated in a single person.

Correlate 4: Clear and Focused Mission

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a clearly articulated school mission through which the staff shares an understanding of and commitment to the instructional goals, priorities, assessment procedures, and accountability. Staff accepts responsibility for students' learning of the school's essential curricular goals.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the effective school mission emphasized teaching for learning for all. The two issues that surfaced were: "Did this really mean all students or just those with whom the schools had a history of reasonable success?" When it became clear that this mission was inclusive of all students, especially the children of the poor (minority and nonminority), the second issue surfaced. It centered itself around the question: "Learn what?" Partially because of the accountability movement and partially because of the belief that disadvantaged students could not learn higher-level curricula, the focus was on mastery of mostly low-level skills.

In the second generation, the focus will shift toward a more appropriate balance between higher-level learning and those more basic skills that are truly prerequisite to their mastery. Designing and delivering a curriculum that responds to the demands of accountability, and is responsive to the need for higher levels of learning, will require substantial staff development. Teachers will have to be better trained to develop curricula and lessons with the "end in mind." They will have to know and be comfortable with the concept of "backward mapping," and they will need to know "task analysis." These "tools of the trade" are essential for an efficient and effective "results-oriented" school that successfully serves all students.

Finally, a subtle but significant change in the concept of school mission deserves notice. Throughout the first generation, effective schools proponents advocated the mission of teaching for learning for all. In the second generation, the advocated mission will be learning for all. The rationale for this change is that the "teaching for" portion of the old statement created ambiguity (although this was unintended) and kept too much of the focus on "teaching" rather than "learning." This allowed people to discount school learnings that were not the result of direct teaching. Finally, the new formulation of learning for all opens the door to the continued learning of the educators as well as the students.

Correlate 5: Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task

The First Generation: In the effective school, teachers allocate a significant amount of classroom time to instruction in the essential skills. For a high percentage of this time, students are engaged in whole class or large group, teacher-directed, planned learning activities.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, time will continue to be a difficult problem for the teacher. In all likelihood, the problems that arise from too much to teach and not enough time to teach it will intensify. In the past, when the teachers were oriented toward "covering curricular content" and more content was added, they knew their response should be to "speed up." Now teachers are being asked to stress the mission that assures that the students master the content that is covered. How are they to respond? In the next generation, teachers will have to become more skilled at interdisciplinary curriculum and they will need to learn how to comfortably practice "organized abandonment." They will have to be able to ask the question, "What goes and what stays?" One of the reasons that many of the mandated approaches to school reform have failed is that, in every case, the local school was asked to do more! One of the characteristics of the most effective schools is their willingness to declare that some things are more important than others; they are willing to abandon some less important content so as to be able to have enough time dedicated to those areas that are valued the most.

The only alternative to abandonment would be to adjust the available time that students spend in school, so that those who need more time to reach mastery would be given it. The necessary time must be provided in a quality program that is not perceived as punitive by those in it, or as excessive by those who will have to fund it. These conditions will be a real challenge indeed!

If the American dream and the democratic ideal of educating everyone is going to move forward, we must explore several important policies and practices from the past. Regarding the issue of time to learn, for example, if the children of the disadvantaged present a "larger educational task" to the teachers and if it can be demonstrated that this "larger task" will require more time, then our notions of limited compulsory schooling may need to be changed. The current system of compulsory schooling makes little allowance for the fact that some students need more time to achieve mastery. If we could get the system to be more mastery-based and more humane at the same time, our nation and its students would benefit immensely.

Correlate 6: Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress

The First Generation: In the effective school, student academic progress is measured frequently through a variety of assessment procedures. The results of these assessments are used to improve individual student performance and also to improve the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the correlate was interpreted to mean that the teachers should frequently monitor their students' learning and, where necessary, the teacher should adjust his/her behavior. Several major changes can be anticipated in the second generation. First, the use of technology will permit teachers to do a better job of monitoring their students' progress. Second, this same technology will allow students to monitor their own learning and, where necessary, adjust their own behavior. The use of computerized practice tests, the ability to get immediate results on homework, and the ability to see correct solutions developed on the screen are a few of the available "tools for assuring student learning."

A second major change that will become more apparent in the second generation is already under way. In the area of assessment, the emphasis will continue to shift away from standardized norm-referenced, paper-pencil tests and toward curricular-based, criterion-referenced measures of student mastery. In the second generation, the monitoring of student learning will emphasize "more authentic assessments" of curriculum mastery. This generally means that there will be less emphasis on the paper-pencil, multiple-choice tests, and more emphasis on assessments of products of student work, including performances and portfolios.

Teachers will pay much more attention to the alignment that must exist between the intended, taught, and tested curriculum. Two new questions are being stimulated by the reform movement and will dominate much of the professional educators' discourse in the second generation: "What's worth knowing?" and "How will we know when they know it?" In all likelihood, the answer to the first question will become clear relatively quickly, because we can reach agreement that we want our students to be self-disciplined, socially responsible, and just. The problem comes with the second question, "How will we know when they know it?" Educators and citizens are going to have to come to terms with that question. The bad news is that it demands our best thinking and will require patience if we are going to reach consensus. The good news is that once we begin to reach consensus, the schools will be able to deliver significant progress toward these agreed-upon outcomes.

Correlate 7: Home-School Relations

The First Generation: In the effective school, parents understand and support the school's basic mission and are given the opportunity to play an important role in helping the school to achieve this mission.

The Second Generation: During the first generation, the role of parents in the education of their children was always somewhat unclear. Schools often gave "lip service" to having parents more actively involved in the schooling of their children. Unfortunately, when pressed, many educators were willing to admit that they really did not know how to deal effectively with increased levels of parent involvement in the schools.

In the second generation, the relationship between parents and the school must be an authentic partnership between the school and home. In the past when teachers said they wanted more parent involvement, more often than not they were looking for unqualified support from parents. Many teachers believed that parents, if they truly valued education, knew how to get their children to behave in the ways that the school desired. It is now clear to both teachers and parents that the parent involvement issue is not that simple. Parents are often as perplexed as the teachers about the best way to inspire students to learn what the school teaches. The best hope for effectively confronting the problem--and not each other--is to build enough trust and enough communication to realize that both teachers and parents have the same goal--an effective school and home for all children!

Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools

Goal 1: Use Resources to Help Meet Standards

Use such program's resources under this part to help participating children meet such State's challenging student academic achievement standards expected for all children.

Goal 2: Ensure Planning is Incorporated

Ensure that planning for students served under this part is incorporated into existing school planning.

Goal 3: Use Effective Methods

Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that strengthens the core academic program of the school and that -

- Give primary consideration to providing extended learning time, such as an extended school year, before- and after-school, and summer programs and opportunities;
- Help provide an accelerated, high-quality curriculum, including applied learning; and
- Minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction provided under this part.

Goal 4: Support Regular Education Program

Coordinate with and support the regular education program, which may include services to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First or State-run preschool programs to elementary school programs.

Goal 5: Highly Qualified Teachers

Provide instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 6: Opportunities for Professional Development

In accordance with subsection (e)(3) and section 1119, provide opportunities for professional development with resources provided under this part, and, to the extent practicable, from other sources, for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals, including, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff, who work with participating children in programs under this section or in the regular education program.

Goal 7: Strategies for Parental Involvement

Provide strategies to increase parental involvement in accordance with section 1118, such as family literacy services.

Goal 8: Coordinate and Integrate Services and Programs

Coordinate and integrate Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

Title I - Schoolwide Programs

Goal 1: Needs Assessment

A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school (including taking into account the needs of migratory children as defined) that is based on information which includes the achievement of children in relation to the State academic content standards and the State student academic achievement standards as described.

Goal 2: Student Opportunities

- (i) Provide opportunities for all children to meet the State's proficient and advanced levels of student academic achievement;
- (ii) Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that -
 - *strengthen the core academic program in the school;
 - *increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as providing an extended school year and before and after-school and summer programs and opportunities, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;
 - *include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically underserved populations;
- (iii)
 - *include strategies to address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of low-achieving children and those at risk of not meeting the State student academic achievement standards who are members of the target population of any program that is included in the schoolwide program, which may include -
 - counseling, pupil services, and mentoring services;
 - college and career awareness and preparation, personal finance education, and innovative teaching
 - the integration of vocational and technical education programs; and
 - *address how the school will determine if such needs have been met;
- (iv) Are consistent with, and are designed to implement, the State and local improvement plans, if any.

Goal 3: Instructional

Instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 4: Professional Development

High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Goal 5: Professional Staff

Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.

Goal 6: Parental Involvement

Strategies to increase parental involvement such as family literary services.

Goal 7: Student Transition to Elementary Programs

Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs, such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First, or a State-run preschool program, to local elementary school programs.

Goal 8: Include Teachers in Decisions

Measures to include teachers in the decisions regarding the use of academic assessments in order to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.

Goal 9: Identify and Assist with Student Difficulties

Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards required shall be provided with effective, timely additional assistance which shall include measures to ensure that students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis and to provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance.

Goal 10: Federal, State, and Local Programs

Coordination and integration of Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

E-Rate Goals

Goal 1: Goals and Strategy for Using Technology

The plan must establish clear goals and a realistic strategy for using telecommunications and information technology to improve education or library services.

Goal 2: Development Strategy for Training

The plan must have a professional development strategy to ensure that staff knows how to use these new technologies to improve education or library services.

Goal 3: Assessment of Services for Improvement

The plan must include an assessment of the telecommunication services, hardware, software, and other services that will be needed to improve education or library services.

Goal 4: Sufficient Budget for Implementation

The plan must provide for a sufficient budget to acquire and support the non-discounted elements of the plan: the hardware, software, professional development, and other services that will be needed to implement the strategy.

Goal 5: Evaluation Process for Monitoring Progress


The plan must include an evaluation process that enables the school or library to monitor progress toward the specified goals and make mid-course corrections in response to new developments and opportunities as they arise.

APPENDIX III

AEIS GRAPHS


Report of TAKS Reading

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group




Report of TAKS Math

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group



Report of TAKS Writing

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group



Report of TAKS Overall

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with TAKS Overall.**

Report of SDAA II Reading

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with SDAA II Reading.**

Report of SDAA II Math

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with SDAA II Math.**

Report of SDAA II Writing

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with SDAA II Writing.**


Report of SDAA II Overall

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with SDAA II Overall.**

Report of Attendance

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group



Report of Completion: Graduated

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Completion: Graduated.**

Report of Completion: Received GED

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Completion: Received GED.**

Report of Completion: Continued HS

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Completion: Continued HS.**

Report of Completion: Dropped Out (4-yr)

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Completion: Dropped Out (4-yr).**

Report of Graduating Seniors Taking SAT/ACT

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Graduating Seniors Taking
SAT/ACT.**

Report of Graduating Seniors Scoring At or Above Criterion

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Graduating Seniors Scoring At or
Above Criterion.**

Report of Mean SAT Scores

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Mean SAT Scores.**

Report of Mean ACT Scores

Graph of Current Performance by Analysis Group

**There is no information associated
with Mean ACT Scores.**